



Digital Journalism >

Volume 7, 2019 - [Issue 1](#)

15,073 98

Views

254

CrossRef citations to date

Altmetric

Original Articles

Fake News, Real Money: Ad Tech Platforms, Profit-Driven Hoaxes, and the Business of Journalism

Joshua A. Braun & Jessica L. Eklund

Pages 1-21 | Published online: 14 Jan 2019

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2018.1556314>



Check for updates



Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

Following the viral spread of hoax political news in the lead-up to the 2016 US presidential election, it's been reported that at least some of the individuals publishing these stories made substantial sums of money—tens of thousands of US dollars—from their efforts. Whether or not such hoax stories are ultimately revealed to have had a persuasive impact on the electorate, they raise important normative questions about the underlying media infrastructures and industries—ad tech firms, programmatic advertising exchanges, etc.—that apparently created a lucrative incentive structure for "fake news" publishers. Legitimate ad-supported news organizations rely on the same infrastructure and industries for their livelihood. Thus, as traditional advertising subsidies for news have begun to collapse in the era of online advertising, it's important to understand how attempts to deal with for-profit hoaxes might

simultaneously impact legitimate news organizations. Through 20 interviews with stakeholders in online advertising, this study looks at how the programmatic advertising industry understands “fake news,” how it conceptualizes and grapples with the use of its tools by hoax publishers to generate revenue, and how its approach to the issue may ultimately contribute to reshaping the financial underpinnings of the digital journalism industry that depends on the same economic infrastructure.

Keywords:

Ad tech

programmatic advertising

ad-supported news

“fake news”

hoaxes

Notes

Acknowledgements

Thank you to the UMass Amherst College of Social and Behavioral Sciences for financial support, to Caroline Jack, Rodrigo Zamith, and the Media & Digital Culture Reading Group for comments on an early draft of this manuscript, to Seth Lewis, Johan Farkas, Esther Thorson, and Data & Society’s Media Manipulation Initiative team for feedback on the research, to Ramon Lobato for bibliographic assistance, to John Coakley for transcription work, and to Oscar Westlund for his extensive and most helpful engagement with this manuscript.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

1. See Allcott and Gentzkow ([2017](#)) for these researchers’ own study based on this data.

2. This free speech framing is, perhaps, the primary area in which the US-centric context of sources' discourse is reflected, whereas absolutes surrounding free speech and safe-harbor protections would seem less likely to dominate the discussion in non-US regulatory contexts.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
98

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email

 Sign me up

  

  

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG