



Q

On Tuesday 1 July 2025, 04:00-21:00 GMT, we'll be making some site updates on Taylor & Francis Online. You'll still be able to search, browse and read our articles, where access rights already apply. Registration, purchasing, activation of tokens, eprints and other features of Your Account will be unavailable during this scheduled work.

Home ► All Journals ► Economics, Finance & Business ► Cogent Economics & Finance ► List of Issues ► Volume 4, Issue 1 ► Water exchange traded funds: A study on

Cogent Economics & Finance > Volume 4, 2016 - Issue 1

Open access

2,208 7 0 Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Listen

Research Article Water exchange traded funds: A study on idiosyncratic risk using Markov switching analysis

Gurudeo Anand Tularam See & Rajibur Reza | Caroline Elliott (Reviewing Editor) Article: 1139437 | Received 20 Oct 2015, Accepted 05 Jan 2016, Published online: 28 Jan 2016

66 Cite thi



We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 909 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. <u>Here</u>

We and our partners process data to provide:

.



Licensing

I Accept

. .

lower systematic risk and a positive effect on the water exchange traded index funds returns during different regimes.

Keywords:			
idiosyncratic risk	water investment	transition probabilities	Markov switching model
water exchange tra	aded funds		

Public Interest Statement

Water is essential for life and has been studied to some extent but not as much in terms of major investments and their associated risks. The issues related to climate change has increased the risk of even more people in the world living with lack of adequate water for drinking. To remove this crisis, water investments in a number of areas are urgently needed. This study provides impetus for further study of the financial aspects of the water industry and its related risks. In particular, this paper contributes by increasing the investor's understanding of the idiosyncratic risk of water investments. The results and findings will guide investors' in decision-making.

	×	
1. Intr		
Althougł		(Tularam &
Properjo		ca &
Tularam,		more than
2.6 bill:		iking (Jin,
Roca		Marchisella,
<u>2014</u>) ar		could be
reached		Co-operation
& Develo		eople are in
acute dr		luding
Banglad		Economic
Co-opera		<u>)08</u> ; Tularam
	Belated research	

As the global population increases, water demand will unavoidably increase and there will be a critical need to make available affordable clean water as well as adequate sanitation for regional populations (Tularam, 2014; Tularam & Illahee, 2010; Tularam & Keeler, 2006; Tularam & Krishna, 2009; Tularam & Singh, 2009). The potential impacts of climate change on water availability and related impact on global agriculture will compound the existing problems of water availability. However, the urgently developing interfaces in many countries including much needed trade liberalizations together appear to present much important information on the values, benefits, and costs of investing in water (Roca et al., 2015; Tularam, 2014).

Clearly, if the water shortages are to be addressed, the existing global water industry needs to examine the rapidly changing market (Jin et al., 2015b; Roca & Tularam, 2012; Roca et al., 2015). There is a major drinking water crisis worldwide and therefore investments in water are urgently needed (Jin et al., 2015a; Roca et al., 2015). The rapidly changing dynamics of the modern world suggest that water investing can be increasingly popular to investors (Roca & Tularam, 2012; Tularam, 2014). Investing in water companies and water stocks has been the most commonly adopted approach as alternative to direct investment it seems (Jin et al., 2015a). If the water sector expands and the market being rather economically resilient, investments in this industry should lead to fruitful financial returns. Investors could also gain better diversification benefits

by involv	×	
In recen ^a		pment of
water ex		r-related
shares a		r investors
the flexi		ansaction
(Roca et		oduced the
Palisade		And from
Augu		is an
equal,		tal activities
of the gl		s, and
treatmei		ollowing the
publicati		hares Water
Resource		roduced to
investor		ong, Roca, &
Tularam		

Since March 2012 these two funds have been re-constructed to track the NASDAQ OMX Water Index (Jin et al., 2015b). As such, there are other ETFs available to investors, for example, Guggenheim S&P Global Water Index ETF (GGW)), which is based on the S&P Global Water Index; and First Trust ISE Water (FIW), which is based on the ISE Water Index (Atkinson, 2009). However, often a number of companies included in these water indices generate only a small portion of their revenue from water-related products or services. Therefore, questions have been raised such as the need to examine whether these water ETFs can be defined as pure water funds (Kearney, 2008; Roca et al., 2015).

To the best of our knowledge no study has investigated the relationship between idiosyncratic risk and return of ETFs in the water sector using Markov switching model. More importantly, in terms of the motivation of this study, none of studies reported appear to have investigated the changes of the idiosyncratic risk and risk-return relationship of the water ETF across different regimes. Therefore, this study contributes to investor's understanding of the idiosyncratic risk of water investments. The results will guide investors' in decision-making. Since the research on idiosyncratic risk of ETF is generally lacking, our study therefore aims to fill in this important gap. The main aim of this article was to investigate the relationship between idiosyncratic risk and return among four water exchange traded funds—PowerShares Water Resources Portfolio



Wong (<u>1992</u>), Bali and Cakici (<u>2008</u>), and Bollen, Skotnicki, and Veeraraghavan (<u>2009</u>) report no significant relationships between the idiosyncratic risk and returns in the US, Australia, and Hong Kong markets, respectively.

Goyal and Santa-Clara (2003) published a paper with the provocative title "Idiosyncratic risk matters!" They reported that there is a positive relation between market return and average idiosyncratic risk for the period of 1963–1999. Later, Bali, Cakici, Yan, and Zhang (2005) expand the Goyal and Santa-Clara's sampling period using two more years of data to find the relation uncovered by Goyal and Santa-Clara is sample specific. They note that sample is driven by small stocks traded on NASDAQ. A dependence on the weighting scheme is partly attributed to liquidity premium. Wei and Zhang's (2005) approach does not allow for the possibility that the relation between idiosyncratic risk and return may be different across high and low volatility states. Guo and Savickas (2003) state that Goyal and Santa-Clara's (2003) did not find further comovements of average stock volatility with stock market volatility.

Angelidis and Tessaromatis (2009) perform regressions analysis of the monthly value weighted excess market return on lagged value (equally) weighted idiosyncratic volatility. The absence of a relation using value weighted idiosyncratic volatility is consistent with Bali et al. (2005) and Wei and Zhang (2005) research. However, in the case of equally weighted idiosyncratic velatility, it is noted that there is strong evidence X e. While the of a posi relation in the high volatility nship states. Their betweer results a ifferent Tessaror market of d returns Give has not stment literatur Barr, & Geman & Priestley Kanyind lorana & udies on the Sawkins systema one in great Article contents

"best" attempt to investigate the water investment. The authors measure the performance and volatility of the World Water Index (WOWAX) (December 2003–June 2006) and find that the index increased by more than 80% during this period (Jin et al., <u>2015a</u>).

3. Data and methodology

3.1. Data

This study utilizes the PHO, PIO, First Trust ISE FIWand Guggenheim S&P Global Water Index ETF (GGW), all obtained from the Thomson Reuters DataStream database. The particular water exchange traded funds have been selected based on the completeness of data starting from the same date, 15 June 2007. The sample period is from 15 June 2007 to 31 August 2015. Daily data utilized is in the form of returns on the price indices; as calculated by the following formula: Rt=ln(Pricet/Pricet-1×1002; the returns are in US dollars (Figure 1).

Figure 1. PHO, PIO, FIW, and GGW water exchange traded funds movement, 2007–2015



3.2. Methodology

Quandt (<u>1958</u>), introduced a method of estimating the position of a single switching point for a linear regression system obeying two regimes. Goldfeld and Quandt (<u>1973</u>) presented a particularly useful version of these models which is called a Markov switching model. Hamilton (<u>1989</u>) proposed a multivariate generalization of the univariate Markov switching model. Particularly, we use Calice, Mio, Štěrba, and Vašíček (<u>2015</u>) and Calice, Ioannidis, and Miao (<u>2012</u>). According to them

 $\sigma 12 = (1-Si,t)\sigma 1h2 + S1,t\sigma 1l2,\sigma 1h2 > \sigma 1l2$

 $\sigma 22 = (1-Si,t)\sigma 2h2 + S2,t\sigma 2l2,\sigma 2h2 > \sigma 2l2$

When both S1,t and S2,t are zeros, the two components will be in the high volatility state as $\sigma 12 = \sigma 1h^2$ and $\sigma 22 = \sigma 2h^2$ similarly if s1,t S1,t and S2,t equal 1, the two components will be in the low volatility state since $\sigma 12 = \sigma 1l^2$ and $\sigma 22 = \sigma 2l^2$.

3.3. Transition probabilities

The regime generating process in Markov switching model is an ergodic Markov chain with a finite number of states which means that the current value of the process at



P=\phi1\phi12...\phi1m\phi21\phi22...\phi2m.......\phim1\phim2...\phim

Markov chain probability of P_{ij} is shown as follows:

 $\rho k, i, j = \Pr(Sk, t=jSK, t-1=i] with \sum j-im \rho i j=1, \forall i and k = \{1, ..., m\}$

3.4. Regime probabilities

This procedure estimates the coefficient matrix, the variance-covariance matrix for each regime, the transition matrix, and the optimal inference for the regimes throughout the sample period. The latter is referred to as the regime probabilities ψ tt^/T defined subsequently, where T denotes the end period for the estimation.

wtt^=Pr(St=i)fori=1,...,mandt=1,...,T

Three types of regime probabilities are involved. However the choice depends on the differences in the existing results. The three types of regime probabilities are written as follows:



Tessaromatis (2009), and Huimin et al. (2010). We have explored the relation between idiosyncratic risk and returns by regressing daily water ETFs stock returns by implementing of the CAPM with a time-varying coefficient.

$Rt = \mu 0 + \beta i PHOftPHO + \beta i PIOftPIO + \beta i FIWftFIW + \beta i GGWftGGW + \epsilon ti$

where Rt represents the returns on an investment of water ETFs; i=1,2,...,N β iPHO, β iPIO, β iFIW, and β iGGW are the time series regression coefficients of Rt; i=1,2,...,N in the equation to emphasize age returns across assets; ftPHO, ftPIO, ftFIW, and ftGGW are the returns on the CAPM. μ 0 is the intercept and ϵ ti is the error term.

Further, we test the following model to run a regression of the relationship between idiosyncratic risk and returns of water ETFs in regime t by following Angelidis and Tessaromatis (2009) and Huimin et al. (2010) by running OLS time-series regressions. According to them

$Rt = \mu 0 + bXt + \xit$

where Rt represents the returns on an investment of water ETFs, $\mu 0$ is the intercept, X_t is the idiosyncratic risk, and ξ_t is the error term.



4.2. Unit root test

The presence of a unit root in the water ETFs returns are tested using both the augmented Dicky-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP). Table 2 shows that ADF and PP testing procedures of the data PHO, FIW, and GGW at 1%; and PIO at 5% level of significance. Hence, the ADF and PP tests consistently reject the null hypothesis. Both unit root tests suggest the funds' returns those of stocks are stationary. Consequently, the returns time series are used in the subsequent analysis without further differencing or testing for co-integration.

Table 2. Unit root tests results	
Download CSV Display Table	

4.3. Regime and transition probabilities

Table 3 presents the corresponding probabilities and characteristics for each of the three regimes in the PHO, PIO, FIW, and GGW models. Table 3 shows the funds stayed most of the time; and the longest time in Regime 2. The three numbers in a particular row show the probability of a regime shifting into Regime 1, 2, and 3, respectively. For example, in row 1, the first number 96.44%, which indicates the probability of Regime 1

shifting	×	nd number
1.63% s		ows the
probabil		Regime 3
staying i		shifting to
Regimes		e
probabil		
Ta' res		
Downlo		
For the F		ntly 27.83%
is the pr		ning to
regime 3		, 25.12%
Article contents	Belated research	

2.75%, and 97.22% are probabilities of shifting to Regime 1, 2, and 3, respectively, as well.

Similarly, for the FIW, the probability of staying in Regime 1 is 3587% (therefore 45.68% probability of switching to Regime 2; and 43.46% probability of switching to Regime 3); and 20.2345% probability of Regime 3 staying in itself (951025.12% and 2.13% probabilities of shifting to Regime 2 and 1, respectively). Consequently, 43.46%, 02.75%, and 36.30% are probabilities of shifting to Regime 1, 2, and 3, respectively, as well. Again the probability of staying in Regime 1 of GGW is 08.81% (and therefore 39.50% probability of switching to Regime 2; and 51.68% 21.37%) and 02.09% in Regime 3. Consequently, 96.29% and 01.6041.43 are probabilities of shifting to Regime 2 and 1, respectively). Hence, 61.30, 26.94, and 11.75% are probabilities of shifting to Regime 1, 2, and 3, respectively, as well.

Thus, these figures show that there is a high and low probability of switching between Regimes 1 and 3. This means that these regimes are highly and lowly volatile, which further confirms that the water exchange traded funds with the water sector is characterized by more regime stability compared to the funds relationship with the equity market (Roca, Tularam, & Wong, <u>2011</u>).

A graphical representation of the regime probabilities is presented in Figures 2-4.





Figure 4. Smoothed regime probabilities.







È

their corresponding benchmark indices during different regimes because of the low volatility of four ETFs.

Table 4. Es	timated id	iosyncratic risk
Download CSV	Display Table	

4.6. The relationship between idiosyncratic risk and return

From Table 5 it can be seen that during Regime 1, the beta coefficients of four water ETFs are found to be positive. The Three ETFs (PHO, PIO, and FIW) are significant at the 1% level of significance. The beta coefficients are all positive for the four water ETFs at Regime 2 and Regime 3, respectively. All ETFs are significant at the 1% level of significance during Regime 2. However, three ETFs (PHO, FIW, and GGW) are significant at the 1% level of significance during Regime 3. Overall, the results show that water ETFs' beta coefficients' entire values are less than 1, which implies that water investment has a lower idiosyncratic risk which coincide with Angelidis and Tessaromatis (2009) and most of the beta coefficients are positive and significant at Regime 1, Regime 2, and Regime 3, respectively. The results indicate that idiosyncratic risk has a positive effect on the water ETFs returns during different regimes. Based on

the time		of
significa	×r	ice for the
forthcon		rn are not
constant	E	ime series
analysis		
Table		
Dis		
5. Con		
The obje	L	rn of the
water in	b	abilities
Article contents	Related research	

from the data-stream database based on the time series data (period 15 June 2004–31 August 2015). In so doing, the study has taken into account of the regime effects.

In this paper, the ADF and PP testing results show that PHO, FIW, and GGW at 1%; and PIO at 5% level of significance. Both unit root tests confirm that the ADF and PP tests consistently reject the null hypothesis and the funds' returns those of stocks are stationary.

The transition probabilities show that there is a high and low probability of switching between Regimes 1 and 3, respectively. The transition probabilities show that three regimes are both highly and lowly volatile. The Markov switching model results show that the idiosyncratic risk of the exchange traded funds (ETFs) are not constant across the three regimes and that the water ETFs appear to have little influence on the idiosyncratic risk. Moreover, the "standard error" terms for regressions across regimes outputs are rather low. In a similar manner, water ETFs affect the total risk. We also identify that the beta coefficients are positive and entire values are less than 1 at Regime 1, Regime 2, and Regime 3, respectively. It seems that water investment has a lower systematic risk and a positive effect on the water ETFs returns during different regimes. Thus, as the Markov switching model is changing when the regime either falls or rises, higher idiosyncratic risk of different regimes illuminating greater returns of



Gurudeo Anand Tularam

Gurudeo Anand Tularam is a senior lecturer in Mathematics/Statistics in the Griffith Sciences-Science, Environment, Engineering and Technology, and a senior researcher at the Environmental Futures Institute, Griffith University, Australia. His research expertise in time series and stochastic calculus methods in business and finance applications such as investment, portfolio analysis, and risk assessment. He has published more than hundred papers in reputed international journals.

Rajibur Reza

Rajibur Reza is a PhD candidate at the Department of Accounting, Finance and Economics, Griffith Business School, Griffith University, Australia.

References



4. Atkinson, W. (2009). Water investing. Equities, 58, 32-33.

Google Scholar

5. Bali, T., & Cakici, N. (2008). Idiosyncratic volatility and the cross section of expected returns. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 43, 29-58.10.1017/S002210900000274X



6. Bali, T. G., Cakici, N., Yan, X., & Zhang, Z. (2005). Does idiosyncratic risk really matter? The Journal of Finance, 60, 905–929.10.1111/jofi.2005.60.issue-2

> Web of Science ® Google Scholar

7. Barber, J. R. (1994). Mutual fund risk measurement and future returns. Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics, 33, 55–64.

Google Scholar

8. Bollen, B., A., Skotnicki, A., & Veeraraghavan, M. (2009). Idiosyncratic volatility and security returns: Australian evidence. Applied Financial Economics. 19. 573-1579. doi:10 X



 Buckland, R., & Williams, J. (2013). Volatility and regulation in water supply and distribution: A post-privatization comparison of UK and US water utilities. Business School, University of Aberdeen, and Aberdeen University. Research Archive (AURA). Retrieved July 26, 2014, from http://aura.abdn.ac.uk/bitstream/2164/2968/1/WaterUtilityPaperforAURA.pdf

Google Scholar

.2. Calice, G., Ioannidis, C., & Miao, R. H. (2012). A Markov switching unobserved component analysis of the CDX index term premium. Mimeo: University of Southampton.

Google Scholar

.3. Calice, G., Mio, R., Štěrba, F., & Vašíček, B. (2015). Short-term determinants of the idiosyncratic sovereign risk premium: A regime-dependent analysis for European credit default swaps. Journal of Empirical Finance, 33, 174– 189.10.1016/j.jempfin.2015.03.018



.7. Garcia, R. (1998). Asymptotic null distribution of the likelihood ratio test in Markov switching models. International Economic Review, 39, 763–788.10.2307/2527399

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

.8. Geman, H., & Kanyinda, A. (2007). Water as the next commodity. The Journal of Alternative Investments, 10, 23–30.10.3905/jai.2007.695264

Google Scholar

.9. Gilroy, B. M., Schreckenberg, H., & Seiler, V. (2013). Water as an alternative asset. (Working Paper No. 2012-09). Center for International Economic, Universität Paderborn. Retrieved March 16, 2014, from http://groups.unipaderborn.de/fiwi/RePEc/Working%20Paper%20neutral/WP55%20-%202012-09.pdf

Google Scholar

20. Goldfeld, S. M., & Quandt, R. E. (1973). A Markov model for switching regressions. Journal of Econometrics, 1, 3–15.10.1016/0304-4076(73)90002-X



4. Hamilton, J. D. (1989). A new approach to the economic analysis of nonstationary time series and the business cycle. Econometrica, 57, 357–384.10.2307/1912559

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

25. Huimin, L., Cheung, A., & Roca, E. (2010). Yes, indeed, idiosyncratic risk matters for socially responsible investments! International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 54, 63–74.

Google Scholar

6. Jin, Y., Li, B., Roca, E., &, Wong, V. (2015b). Water as an investment: Liquid yet illiquid. Applied Economics, 731–745. doi:10.1080/00036846.2015.1085646

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

27. Jin, Y., Roca, E., Li, B., Wong, V., & Cheung, A. (2015a). Sprinkle your investment portfolio with water! International Journal of Water, 9, 43– 59.10.1504/IJW.2015.067445

	Google Scholar		
8. Kearn		>	rch. On Wall
Street			
Googl			
9. Krolzię			, statistical
infere			
80. Moran			ce volatility:
The Er			egulatory
Econo			
	Article contents	Related research	

1. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2008). OECD environmental outlook to 2030. Paris: Author.

Google Scholar

2. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2009). Private sector participation in water infrastructure: OECD checklist for public action. Paris: Author.

Google Scholar

33. Quandt, R. E. (1958). The estimation of the parameters of a linear regression system obeying two separate regimes. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 53, 873–880.10.1080/01621459.1958.10501484

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

34. Roca, E. D., & Tularam, G. A. (2012). Which way does water flow? An econometric analysis of the global price integration of water stocks. Applied Economics, 44, 2935– 2944. doi:10.1080/00036846.2011.568403



88. Tang, Y. N. G., & Shum, W. C. (2007). The risk-return relations: Evidence from the Korean and Taiwan stock markets. Applied Economics, 39, 1905.

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

 Tularam, G. A. & Keeler, H. P. (2006). The study of coastal groundwater depth and salinity variation using time-series analysis. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 26, 633–642.

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

0. Tularam, G. A. (2014). Investing in water for a green economy. Australian Planner, 51, 372–373. doi:10.1080/07293682.2014.948987

Google Scholar

 Tularam, G. A., & Illahee, M. (2008). Exponential smoothing method of base flow separation and its impact on continuous loss estimates. American Journal of Environmental Sciences, 4, 136–144.

	Google Scholar		
2.	Tulara intera 380.1		× mperature 6, 372–
13.	Tulara pur Sc		vater plied
4.	Googl Tulara Intern Spring		ystems. e.
	Article contents	Relate	ed research

5. Tularam, G. A., & Properjohn, M. (2011). An investigation into water distribution network security: Risk and implications. Security Journal, 4, 1057–1066.

Google Scholar

46. Tularam, G. A., & Singh, R. (2009). Estuary, river and surrounding groundwater quality deterioration associated with tidal intrusion. Journal of Applied Sciences in Environmental Sanitation, 4, 141–150.

Google Scholar

7. Wei, S, & Zhang, C. (2005). Idiosyncratic risk does not matter: A re-examination of the relationship between average returns and average volatilities. Journal of Banking and Finance, 29, 603–621.10.1016/S0378-4266(04)00050-0

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

18. Wild, D., Francke, C.J., Menzli, P., & Schon, U. (2007). Water: A market of the future. Zurich: SAM Sustainable Asset Management. Retrieved June 20, 2014, from http://www.bhopal.net/petition/application/views/waterstudy_e.pdf



 Tularam, G. A., & Hassan, O. M. (in press). Water availability and food security— Impact on people's movement and migration in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). NY: Taylor and Francis International.

Google Scholar

 Tularam G. A. (in press). Water security problems in Asia and longer term implications for Australia Springer. Retrieved from http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-12394-3_7

Google Scholar

 Tularam, G. A., & Ilahee, M. (2011). Time series analyses of rainfall and temperature interactions in tropical catchments. In A. B. Nadykto, L. Uvarova, A. V. Latyshev (Ed.), Mathematical models of non-linear phenomena, processes and systems: From molecular scale to planetary atmosphere (400 p.). Albany, NY: State University of New York at Albany, Atmospheric Sciences Research Center. ISBN: 978-1-60876-994-0.

Google Scholar



8. Retrieved from http://lifewater.org/articles-category/nutrition-children-wash/? gclid=CNSxzaK50MgCFQNxvAod9eMOOQ Google Scholar 9. Retrieved from http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/food_security.shtml Google Scholar Download PDF

Related research (

People also read	Recommended articles	Cited by 7
------------------	----------------------	---------------



Information for	Open access
Authors	Overview
R&D professionals	Open journals
Editors	Open Select
Librarians	Dove Medical Press
Societies	F1000Research
Opportunities	Help and information
Reprints and e-prints	Help and contact
Advertising solutions	Newsroom
Accelerated publication	All journals
Corporate access solutions	Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

🔛 Sign me u

