







Q

Home ► All Journals ► Environment & Agriculture ► Transactions of the American Fisheries Society ► List of Issues ► Volume 134, Issue 2 ► Two Adjustable Waterfalls for Evaluating ....

## Transactions of the American Fisheries Society >

Volume 134, 2005 - Issue 2

239 17 0 Niews CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Article

## Two Adjustable Waterfalls for Evaluating Fish Jumping Performance

Matthew C. Kondratieff & Christopher A. Myrick

Pages 503-508 | Received 13 Oct 2003, Accepted 07 Oct 2004, Published online: 09 Jan 2011

Sample our
Environment & Agriculture
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article







**Metrics** 

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article



## Abstract

Instream obstacles such as low-head dams and waterfalls are important in fisheries management because they can restrict the movement of fishes. This can have detrimental or beneficial effects on a fish population, depending on whether a particular structure operates as a barrier to migration or as a barrier to invasion. We developed flashboard-type and flume-type adjustable waterfalls in the laboratory to study the effects of waterfall height and plunge pool depth on fish jumping performance. Our ultimate goal was to provide a quantitative method of evaluating pool-and-weir fish passage structures and waterfall barriers that could be applied to field situations. The adjustable waterfalls were successfully tested using adult Rio Grande cutthroat trout Oncorhynchus clarkii virginalis. The flashboard waterfall worked well in experiments where the combined waterfall height and plunge pool depth were less than the depth of the raceway. The flume waterfall worked well for the same conditions, but as designed, its minimum waterfall height was 30 cm. The flume-type

waterfall also worked well for tests where the waterfall height exceeded the maximum depth of the raceway. Of the two waterfalls developed, we recommend using the flume-type for future studies because of its greater flexibility, even though it has a higher construction cost and is slightly more difficult to operate.



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 17 Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

**Books** 

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG