

Financial Analysts Journal >
Volume 55, 1999 - Issue 6

1,604 16

Views CrossRef citations to date

21

Altmetric

PERSPECTIVES

The End of Behavioral Finance

Richard H. Thaler

Pages 12-17 | Published online: 02 Jan 2019

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v55.n6.2310>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

The controversy surrounding behavioral finance is dying out as scholars accept it as simply a new way of doing financial economic research.

Behavioral finance has, during its short history, been considered a controversial field. Some consider it heresy. Why? Few have ever believed that all investors really make decisions according to the rational axioms of choice under uncertainty. Rather, defenders of the rational efficient market hypothesis have argued that markets can be efficient even when many investors make systematic errors; as long as there are a few smart arbitrageurs, according to this logic, market prices will still be rational. We now know that is not true. Market prices can be irrational. The arbitrageurs who would correct the prices can be overwhelmed by the irrationality of the market. A useful example is the dot-com bubble. The ratio of the price of a stock to its earnings was much higher than the ratio of the price of a stock to its earnings with the same earnings. The market got right. Hedge funds have tried to profit from this discrepancy, but such trades run the

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

risk that the prices will diverge even more (as happened in August and September 1998).

If markets can get prices wrong in this relatively simple case—and wrong by so much—then in other, more complex situations, prices can be much farther out of line. For example, consider the current prices of Internet stocks. If they exceed intrinsic values (as many believe), arbitrageurs can do little to correct the situation. “Smart” investors who have been short the Internet sector over the past two years have had a painful ride.

Plenty of other evidence indicates markets do not seem to be behaving in a textbook fashion. Compared with a rational model, markets seem to have too much volume and too much volatility. Companies pay dividends, although share repurchases seem to be a dominant strategy. Stock prices move in predictable patterns. And the return difference between stocks and bonds, the equity premium, has historically been much higher than any rational model can explain.

Some of these puzzles are beginning to be understood by incorporating psychological phenomena into our models of financial markets. For example, too much trading is explained by overconfidence. Stock price predictability can be explained by the biases generated from the use of simple rules of thumb, or heuristics. The enormous equity premium can be explained by a combination of mental accounting and loss aversion.

Surely, the idea that we might be able to understand some aspects of financial market behavior by incorporating ideas from psychology and other social sciences should not be considered controversial. I am thus predicting an end to the controversy and, indeed, an end to behavioral finance. In the future, financial economists will routinely incorporate as much “behavior” into their models as they observe in the real world. After all, to do otherwise would be irrational.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings



Related

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings