Home ► All Journals ► Financial Analysts Journal ► List of Issues ► Volume 60, Issue 2





Financial Analysts Journal > Volume 60, 2004 - Issue 2

278 8

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Value at Risk and Expected Stock Returns

**EOUITY INVESTMENTS** 

# Value at Risk and Expected Stock Returns

Turan G. Bali & Nusret Cakici

Pages 57-73 | Published online: 02 Jan 2019

https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v60.n2.2610 **66** Cite this article

> Sample our Tourism, Hospitality and

References

**66** Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

# Abstract

Stock size, liquidity, and value at risk (VAR) can explain the cross-sectional variation in expected returns, but market beta and total volatility have almost no power to capture the cross-section of expected returns at the stock level. Furthermore, the strong positive relationship between average returns and VAR is robust for different investment horizons and loss-probability levels. In addition to the cross-sectional regressions at the stock level, this study used a time-series approach to test the empirical performance of VAR at the portfolio level. The results, based on 25 size/bookto-market portfolios, indicate that VAR has additional explanatory power after the

characte for.

Althoua othe exped

alternat

was to t sectiona

## About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

e controlled Accept All Essential Onlstics and ection of Settings an ly, our goal crossUsing monthly and annual regressions, we provide evidence that size, liquidity, and VAR could capture the cross-sectional variation in expected returns of NYSE, Amex, and Nasdaq stocks for the period January 1963 to December 2001. Furthermore, we show that market beta and total volatility have almost no power to explain average stock returns at the individual-stock level. We also compared the relative performance of size, beta, and VAR in explaining the cross-sectional variation in portfolio returns. The results show that all the risk factors considered in the article can capture the cross-sectional differences in portfolio returns but that VAR has the best performance in terms of R<sup>2</sup> values. The strong positive relationship between stock (or portfolio) returns and VAR turns out to be robust over various investment horizons and loss-probability levels.

In addition to using cross-sectional regressions in an asset-pricing framework, we also used time-series regressions to evaluate the empirical performance of VAR at the portfolio level. To mimic the risk factor in returns related to VAR, we devised an alternative factor, HVARL, the difference between the simple average of the high-VAR portfolio returns and the low-VAR portfolio returns. Using 25 portfolios, we investigated the relative performance of total volatility, VAR, and liquidity in terms of their ability to capture time-series variation in stock returns. When we regressed monthly returns for a stock portfolio on the returns for portfolios based on market return, company size, the book-to-market ratio, liquidity, and VAR, we found that VAR can capture substantial time-series variation in stock returns and provide additional explanatory power even after the characteristics of market return, size, book-to-market ratio, and liquidity are controlled for. The results also imply that the relationship between VAR and expected stock returns is not the result of a reversal in long-term returns, of liquidity, or of volatility.

Modern portfolio theory determines the optimum asset mix by maximizing the expected risk premium per unit of risk in a mean-variance framework or the expected

value of portfolio (or stand by traver investor.

The star

normal

#### About Cookies On This Site


We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>

iance of the expected iance of the evariance as defined about the allow parately.

markets during ordinary periods. Neither the variance nor the standard deviation,

however, can yield an accurate characterization of actual portfolio risk during highly volatile periods. Therefore, the set of mean-variance-efficient portfolios may lead to an inefficient strategy for maximizing expected portfolio return while minimizing risk. Our findings suggest a new approach to optimal portfolio selection in a VAR framework. A mean-VAR approach can be introduced to allocate financial assets by maximizing the expected value of some utility function approximated by the expected return and VAR of the portfolio, as well as the investor's aversion to VAR.

We thank Linda Allen, Archishman Chakraborty, Jay Dahya, Gayle Delong, Charlotte Hansen, Armen Hovakimian, John Merrick, Rui Yao, and especially Haim Levy for their extremely helpful comments and suggestions. An earlier version of this paper was presented at the Baruch College, City College, Graduate School and University Center of the City University of New York. Financial support from the PSC-CUNY Research Foundation of the City University of New York is also gratefully acknowledged.



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by

### About Cookies On This Site



We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

#### About Cookies On This Site



We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>



Essential Onl

Settings