

Financial Analysts Journal >
Volume 60, 2004 - Issue 2453 | 11 | 3
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

How Regimes Affect Asset Allocation

Andrew Ang & Geert Bekaert

Pages 86-99 | Published online: 02 Jan 2019

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v60.n2.2612>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

International equity returns are characterized by episodes of high volatility and unusually high correlations coinciding with bear markets. This article provides models of asset returns that match these patterns and illustrates their use in asset allocation. The presence of regimes with different correlations and expected returns is difficult to exploit within a framework focused on global equities. Nevertheless, for global all-equity portfolios, the regime-switching strategy dominated static strategies in an out-of-sample test. In addition, substantial value was added when an investor switched between domestic cash, bonds, and equity investments. In a persistent high-volatility market,

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

normal times. The regimes are persistent, and in the bear markets, asset correlations are higher than in the normal regime.

We show that the presence of regimes in international returns is exploitable in active asset allocation programs. We illustrate how the presence of regimes can be incorporated into two asset allocation programs—a global equity allocation setting (with six equity markets) and a market-timing setting for U.S. cash, bonds, and equity. For global portfolios, the optimal equity portfolio in the high-volatility bear market is very different from the optimal portfolio in the normal regime; for example, it is more home biased in bear markets. For a domestic U.S. portfolio, optimally exploiting regime switches implies portfolio shifts into bonds or cash when a high-volatility bear market regime is expected.

To build a quantitative model for the international asset classes, we incorporated two regimes in the basic capital asset pricing model. Conditional means, volatilities, and correlations in this model depend on which regime prevails at each time. The RS model can produce rich patterns of stochastic volatility and time-varying correlations. The regimes are identified endogenously through the estimation procedure, which provides an easy way for an investor to determine which regime is prevailing at a given time.

The regime-dependent strategies have the potential to outperform static investment strategies because they set up a defensive portfolio in the bear market regime that hedges against high correlations and low returns. Theoretically, the presence of two regimes implies two mean-variance frontiers, one for each regime. The presence of two regimes and two frontiers means that the regime-switching investment opportunity set dominates the investment opportunity set offered by one unconditional frontier. For example, in the global asset allocation setting in the normal regime, the unconditional tangency portfolio yielded a Sharpe ratio of 0.619. The investor could improve this trade-off to 0.671 by holding the regime-switching portfolio.

Similarly, in the market-timing setting, the regime-switching portfolio had a Sharpe ratio of 0.671, compared to 0.619 for the unconditional tangency portfolio. To illustrate, we used an out-of-sample performance criterion to compare the Sharpe ratios of the regime-switching and unconditional portfolios.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In an out-of-sample test of the market-timing model for U.S. equities, bonds, and cash, we found that substantial value could be added when an investor moved assets among cash, bonds, and equity investments. When a persistent high-volatility market hit, the investor switched primarily to cash. Market-timing benefits were large because high-volatility markets tend to coincide with periods of relatively high interest rates.

The results reported here provide a clear demonstration of how active managers can incorporate regime-switching strategies to enhance returns in market-timing models. Our results lead to two robust conclusions. First, one can add value by considering regime switches in global all-equity portfolios; the presence of a bear market regime does not negate the benefits of international diversification. Although portfolios in the high-correlation regime are more home biased, they still involve significant international exposure. Second, an even more valuable situation in which to consider regime-switching models is tactical asset allocation programs that allow switching to a risk-free asset.

We thank Cam Harvey for providing data and Theo Nijman and seminar participants at a joint INQUIRE Europe-INQUIRE U.K. meeting and the Conference on Dynamic Strategies in Asset Allocation and Risk Management at EIASM in Brussels for useful comments. This research was funded by a grant from INQUIRE Europe. A more technical version of this article is available at www.columbia.edu/~aa610 or www.columbia.edu/~gb241.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings



Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings