







▶ All Journals ▶ Financial Analysts Journal ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 62, Issue 2 ► The Myth of the Absolute-Return Investor

Financial Analysts Journal > Volume 62, 2006 - Issue 2

109 24

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Perspectives

Myth of the Absolute-Return Investor

M. Barton Waring & Laurence B. Siegel

Pages 14-21 | Published online: 08 Apr 2019

66 Cite this article https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v62.n2.4080



References

66 Citations

Metrics

➡ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

The notion of "absolute return" investing is spreading like wildfire. Many people believe that superior returns can be achieved by managers with strong views and little regard for benchmarks. This article attempts to define absolute-return investing and figure out whether it exists. The conclusion is that all investment returns consist of a beta part

(represe benchm relative-

benchm

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 842 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. Privacy Policy


We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

The rece misu portfolio

or alpha which ac benchm



ix of

Hedge funds are currently the most visible and popular of would-be absolute-return investments, but the term "absolute return" is also applied to certain other structures, including some concentrated long-only active managers. Practically all of the managers who disdain benchmarks say they do so because the use of benchmarks to measure performance limits the creativity and aggressiveness that can be achieved by those with superior skill. Actually, benchmarks do nothing of the kind: They merely achieve a fair apportionment between the return from skill and the return from being exposed to markets. Investors need this information to make successful decisions about active managers, including hedge funds.

Although most investment strategies mix alpha and beta exposures, a well-engineered market-neutral long?short hedge fund does not. Such a fund—if it is really market neutral in all the dimensions of market risk—allows investors to earn pure alpha, although even this return is not an absolute return: It is alpha relative to the properly specified benchmark—in this case, the return on cash. Investors can add beta exposures as desired, using inexpensive futures contracts or other vehicles, in what is popularly called a "portable alpha" strategy but which might be better described as portable beta.

Thus, all investing is benchmark relative. Even Warren Buffett has a benchmark, an opportunity cost of capital that he must beat if he wants Berkshire Hathaway to go up more than the market. So does your favorite hedge fund. And having a low or zero beta, as many hedge funds do, does not mean you have a high alpha. One has nothing to do with the other; alpha must always and everywhere be earned by having insights



ess

People also read

Information for	Open acc
-----------------	----------

Authors Overview

R&D professionals Open journals

Open Select **Editors**

Librarians **Dove Medical Press**

Societies F1000Research

Opportunities Help and information

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions Newsroom

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email













X

or & Francis Group orma business