

Financial Analysts Journal >  
Volume 62, 2006 - Issue 2107 24 0  
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Perspectives

# The Myth of the Absolute-Return Investor

M. Barton Waring &amp; Laurence B. Siegel

Pages 14-21 | Published online: 08 Apr 2019

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v62.n2.4080>

Sample our  
Mathematics & Statistics  
Journals  
>> **Sign in here** to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints &amp; Permissions

Read this article

## Abstract

The notion of "absolute return" investing is spreading like wildfire. Many people believe that superior returns can be achieved by managers with strong views and little regard for benchmarks. This article attempts to define absolute-return investing and figure out whether it exists. The conclusion is that all investment returns consist of a beta part (representing the correlation of the active portfolio with one or more market benchmarks or normal portfolios) and an active alpha part. Thus, all investing is relative-return investing in which active returns are earned relative to an appropriate benchmark or mix of benchmarks.

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Hedge funds are currently the most visible and popular of would-be absolute-return investments, but the term "absolute return" is also applied to certain other structures, including some concentrated long-only active managers. Practically all of the managers who disdain benchmarks say they do so because the use of benchmarks to measure performance limits the creativity and aggressiveness that can be achieved by those with superior skill. Actually, benchmarks do nothing of the kind: They merely achieve a fair apportionment between the return from skill and the return from being exposed to markets. Investors need this information to make successful decisions about active managers, including hedge funds.

Although most investment strategies mix alpha and beta exposures, a well-engineered market-neutral long-short hedge fund does not. Such a fund—if it is really market neutral in all the dimensions of market risk—allows investors to earn pure alpha, although even this return is not an absolute return: It is alpha relative to the properly specified benchmark—in this case, the return on cash. Investors can add beta exposures as desired, using inexpensive futures contracts or other vehicles, in what is popularly called a "portable alpha" strategy but which might be better described as portable beta.

Thus, all investing is benchmark relative. Even Warren Buffett has a benchmark, an opportunity cost of capital that he must beat if he wants Berkshire Hathaway to go up more than the market. So does your favorite hedge fund. And having a low or zero beta, as many hedge funds do, does not mean you have a high alpha. One has nothing to do with the other; alpha must always and everywhere be earned by having insights superior to those of the other players in the market, and that is very difficult, although not impossible, to do.

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings



Re

A VaR E

## Information for

Authors  
R&D professionals  
Editors  
Librarians  
Societies

## Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints  
Advertising solutions  
Accelerated publication  
Corporate access solutions

## Open access

Overview  
Open journals  
Open Select  
Dove Medical Press  
F1000Research

## Help and information

Help and contact  
Newsroom  
All journals  
Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources  
by email



Sign me up



### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings