







Q



Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Economics, Finance & Business ▶ Financial Analysts Journal ▶ List of Issues ► Volume 62, Issue 6 ► Value Destruction and Financial Reportin ....

### Financial Analysts Journal >

Volume 62, 2006 - Issue 6

1,351 137 52 Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Financial Statement Analysis

# Value Destruction and Financial Reporting Decisions

John R. Graham, Campbell R. Harvey & Shiva Rajgopal

Pages 27-39 | Published online: 02 Jan 2019

**66** Cite this article https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v62.n6.4351

> Sample our Economics, Finance, **Business & Industry Journals** >> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days









Read this article

Share

## Abstract

The comprehensive survey reported here allowed analysis of how senior U.S. financial executives make decisions related to performance measurement and voluntary disclosure. Chief financial officers were asked what earnings benchmarks they cared about and which factors motivated executives to exercise discretion—even sacrifice economic value—to deliver earnings. These issues are crucially linked to stock market performance. The results show that the destruction of shareholder value through legal means is pervasive, perhaps even a routine way of doing business. Indeed, the amount of value destroyed by companies striving to hit earnings targets exceeds the value lost in recent high-profile fraud cases.

Based on a survey of 401 senior U.S. financial executives and in-depth interviews with an additional 22 executives, we document a willingness to routinely sacrifice

shareholder value to meet earnings expectations or to smooth reported earnings. Whereas much previous research focused on the use of accounting for earnings management, such as accrual decisions, we provide new evidence of the widespread use of "real earnings" management. Real earnings management, which might include deferring a valuable project or slashing research and development expenditures, is almost always value decreasing.

The survey, administered in the fall of 2003, explored both earnings management and voluntary disclosures in some depth. In addition, from the fall of 2003 to early 2005, we interviewed 22 chief financial officers (CFOs), which added to our understanding of corporate decision making.

Our results indicate that CFOs believe that earnings, not cash flows, are the key metric watched by investors and other outsiders. They consider the two most important earnings benchmarks to be quarterly earnings for the same quarter last year and the analyst consensus estimate.

CFOs believe that hitting earnings benchmarks is very important because such actions build credibility with the market and help maintain or increase the company's stock price in the short run. To avoid the severe market reaction to a failure to deliver on the earnings expectations of analysts and investors, CFOs are willing to sacrifice long-term economic value (such as delaying a valuable project). In contrast, executives say that they are hesitant to use legal—that is, within GAAP—accounting adjustments to hit earnings targets, perhaps as a consequence of the stigma attached to accounting fraud in the post-Enron environment.

Not surprisingly, almost all CFOs prefer smooth earnings, but a surprising 78 percent of the surveyed executives would destroy economic value in exchange for smooth earnings. The executives believe that unpredictable earnings—as reflected in a missed earnings target or volatile earnings—command a higher risk premium. In short, CFOs argued that the system (that is, financial market pressures and overreactions) encourages decisions that at times destroy long-term value to meet earnings targets.

We also explore how the malaise of excessive short-termism can be fixed. We argue that a greater emphasis on principles-based rather than rules-based accounting standards, reduction in quarterly earnings guidance, disclosure of how accrual estimates are settledex post, a focus on integrity in the financial reporting process, proactive boards of directors working to balance short-term and long-term goals, and a



## Notes

- <sup>1</sup> The survey is posted at <a href="http://faculty.fuqua.duke.edu/~jgraham/finrep/survey.htm">http://faculty.fuqua.duke.edu/~jgraham/finrep/survey.htm</a>.
- <sup>2</sup> Examples include a 12 percent response rate reported by <u>Trahan and Gitman (1995)</u> and a 9 percent response rate reported by <u>Graham and Harvey (2001)</u>.
- <sup>3</sup> This report may be found at <a href="https://www.sec.gov/news/studies/soxoffbalancerpt.pdf">www.sec.gov/news/studies/soxoffbalancerpt.pdf</a>.

#### Related Research Data

The Economic Implications of Corporate Financial Reporting

Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

Remuneration: Where We've Been, How We Got to Here, What are the Problems, and

How to Fix Them

Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Chronic Bias in Earnings Forecasts Source: Financial Analysts Journal

**Investor Suffrage Movement** 

Source: CFA Digest

Earnings Surprises, Growth Expectations, and Stock Returns: Don't Let an Earnings

Torpedo Sink Your Portfolio

Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

Is silence golden? An empirical analysis of firms that stop giving quarterly earnings

guidance

Source: Journal of Accounting and Economics



Related research 1

People also read

search 👅

Recommended articles

Cited by 136 Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

**Books** 

#### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG