

“Cite this article” <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v65.n4.3>

Show Purpose

unexpectedly low earnings. More specifically, a company's standardized unexpected earnings (SUE) is defined as the difference between the last available quarterly earnings and the earnings during that same quarter in the previous year, scaled by the standard deviation of this difference over the previous eight quarters. A trading strategy that each month goes long the stocks in the top decile of SUE and short the stocks in the bottom decile of SUE earns, on average, 90 bps per month (10 percent annually) over the 1972–2005 period.

The goal of this article is to demonstrate that stock liquidity is an important consideration for understanding the persistence of the PEAD anomaly over the years. Previous studies have not taken trading costs into account in the calculation of abnormal returns. We studied the impact of illiquidity on the profitability of the PEAD trading strategy and show that this strategy is likely to be unprofitable after adjusting for transaction costs.

First, we studied the relationship between the PEAD and illiquidity by using double-sorted portfolios. Our findings suggest that the PEAD is prevalent mainly in illiquid stocks. We examined the profitability of the long-short SUE strategy after sorting stocks into decile portfolios on the basis of their illiquidity. For this analysis, we used the Amihud measure of stock illiquidity, which is the average of the daily price impacts of the order flow (i.e., the daily absolute price change per dollar of daily trading volume). Returns to the long-short SUE strategy increased monotonically from 0.04 percent per month for the most liquid stocks to 2.43 percent for the most illiquid stocks.

Because we found that the PEAD is more prevalent in illiquid stocks, following a PEAD trading strategy in illiquid stocks may have a different impact. We used several different trading strategies to examine the impact of the PEAD on the profitability of the trading strategy. The results show that the PEAD anomaly is more prevalent in illiquid stocks, and the trading strategy based on the PEAD anomaly is more profitable in illiquid stocks. This finding is consistent with Rubinstein's definition of the PEAD anomaly.



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
16

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2019 Taylor & Francis Group
All rights reserved. Taylor & Francis Group is an informa business

Accessibility

Registered
5 Howick Pl

