Financial Analysts Journal ›
Volume 67, 2011 - Issue 2

Portfolio Management

The Impact of Skewness and Fat Tails on the Asset Allocation Decision

James X. Xiong, CFA & Thomas M. Idzorek, CFA

Pages 23-35 | Published online: 30 Dec 2018

66 Cite this article ⬈ https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v67.n2.5

Sample our Economics, Finance,Business & Industry journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days

📄 References   ➕ Supplemental   66 Citations   📊 Metrics   🖨 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article   ◀ Share

Abstract

The auth...   ...sing a
multivar...   ...d returns into
the mea...   ...eries of
controlle...   ...the M-CVaR
optimiza...   ...tional
mean–va...   ...n would
have be...

Altho...   ...stributed
and that...   ...lications for
portfolio...

In a serie...   ...d mean-
conditio...
ramifica...   ...irst four
scenario...   ...distribution

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 899 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept
Reject All
Show Purpose

model to simulate a large number of returns with appropriate variance, skewness, and kurtosis, which, in turn, enabled us to more accurately measure the downside risk of a portfolio by using the CVaR.

In our first example, in which returns are symmetrically distributed and have uniform tails, the MVO and the M-CVaR lead to the same results. When there are varying levels of skewness and kurtosis in the opportunity set of assets, the MVO and the M-CVaR lead to significantly different asset allocations. In particular, the combination of a negative skewness and a fat tail has the greatest impact on the optimal asset allocation weights. Intuitively, the M-CVaR prefers assets with higher positive skewness, lower kurtosis, and lower variance.

Over the last 20 years, global high yield, U.S. REITs, U.S. TIPS, and value stocks have had significant negative skewness, whereas non-U.S. government bonds have had positive skewness. The kurtosis for global high yield, U.S. REITs, and U.S. TIPS is higher than it is for other asset classes. In a 14-asset-class bootstrapping analysis, the M-CVaR, relative to the MVO, leads to significantly higher allocations to non-U.S. government bonds and U.S. nominal bonds and lower allocations to global high yield, U.S. REITs, and commodities.

An out-of-sample test showed that the M-CVaR outperformed the MVO in the financial crisis of ⬚ 4 percentage points a⬚ ⬚er-moment informat⬚ e crisis.

Although⬚ s on asset allocatio⬚ e a critical implicati⬚ n the portfolio⬚

Relate⬚

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up