







Q

Home ► All Journals ► Medicine ► Acta Odontologica Scandinavica ► List of Issues ► Volume 73, Issue 1 ► Efficacy of ceramic repair material on t ....

Acta Odontologica Scandinavica >

Volume 73, 2015 - Issue 1

591 15 Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Article

## Efficacy of ceramic repair material on the bond strength of composite resin to zirconia ceramic

Omer Kirmali ➡, Alper Kapdan, Osman Tolga Harorli, Cagatay Barutcugil & Mehmet Mustafa Ozarslan

Pages 28-32 | Received 09 Mar 2014, Accepted 08 Jul 2014, Published online: 06 Nov 2014

**66** Cite this article **№** https://c

▶ https://doi.org/10.3109/00016357.2014.946963





- Full Article
- Figures & data
- References
- **66** Citations
- Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article



## Abstract

Objective. The aim of this study was to evaluate the shear bond strength of composite resin in five different repair systems. Materials and methods. Sixty specimens (7 mm in diameter and 3 mm in height) of zirconia ceramic were fabricated. All specimen surfaces were prepared with a 30 µm fine diamond rotary cutting instrument with water irrigation for 10 s and dried with oil-free air. Specimens were then randomly divided into six groups for the following different intra-oral repair systems (n = 10): Group 1, control group; Group 2, Cojet system (3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany); Group 3, Cimara® System (Voco, Cuxhaven, Germany); Group 4, Z-Prime Plus System (Bisco Inc., Schaumburg, IL); Group 5, Clearfil™ System (Kuraray, Osaka, Japan); and Group 6, Z-Bond System (Danville, CA). After surface conditioning, a composite resin Grandio (Voco, Cuxhaven,

Germany) was applied to the zirconia surface using a cylindrical mold (5 mm in diameter and 3 mm in length) and incrementally filled up, according to the manufacturer's instructions of each intra-oral system. Each specimen was subjected to a shear load at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min until fracture. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey post-hoc tests were used to analyze the bond strength values. Results. There were significant differences between Groups 2–6 and Group 1. The highest bond strength values were obtained with Group 2 (17.26  $\pm$  3.22) and Group 3 (17.31  $\pm$  3.62), while the lowest values were observed with Group 1 (8.96  $\pm$  1.62) and Group 6 (12.85  $\pm$  3.95). Conclusion. All repair systems tested increased the bond strength values between zirconia and composite resin that used surface grinding with a diamond bur.

## Key Words:



Declaration of interest: The authors have no declared financial interests in any company manufacturing the types of products mentioned in this article. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.



Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

**Books** 

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG