







Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Bioscience ▶ Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology ▶ List of Issues Volume 30, Issue 6 ► The Glut athione S-Transferase Supergene ....

Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology >

28

Volume 30, 1995 - Issue 6

4,719 2,576

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

# The Glut athione S-Transferase Supergene Family: Regulation of GST and the Contribution of the Isoenzymes to Cancer Chemoprotection and Drug Resistance Part I

John D. Hayes & David J. Pulford

Pages 445-520 | Published online: 26 Sep 2008

**66** Cite this article https://doi.org/10.3109/10409239509083491





**66** Citations



Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

## **Abstract**

The glutathione S-transferases (GST) represent a major group of detoxification enzymes. All eukaryotic species possess multiple cytosolic and membrane-bound GST isoenzymes, each of which displays distinct catalytic as well as noncatalytic binding properties: the cytosolic enzymes are encoded by at least five distantly related gene families (designated class alpha, mu, pi, sigma, and theta GST), whereas the membrane-bound enzymes, microsomal GST and leukotriene C, synthetase, are encoded by single genes and both have arisen separately from the soluble GST. Evidence suggests that the level of expression of GST is a crucial factor in determining the sensitivity of cells to a broad spectrum of toxic chemicals. In this article the

biochemical functions of GST are described to show how individual isoenzymes contribute to resistance to carcinogens, antitumor drugs, environmental pollutants, and products of oxidative stress.


A description of the mechanisms of transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of GST isoenzymes is provided to allow identification of factors that may modulate resistance to specific noxious chemicals. The most abundant mammalian GST are the class alpha, mu, and pi enzymes and their regulation has been studied in detail. The biological control of these families is complex as they exhibit sex-, age-, tissue-, species-, and tumor-specific patterns of expression. In addition, GST are regulated by a structurally diverse range of xenobiotics and, to date, at least 100 chemicals have been identified that induce GST; a significant number of these chemical inducers occur naturally and, as they are found as nonnutrient components in vegetables and citrus fruits, it is apparent that humans are likely to be exposed regularly to such compounds. Many inducers, but not all, effect transcriptional activation of GST genes through either the antioxidant-responsive element (ARE), the xenobiotic-responsive element (XRE), the GST P enhancer I(GPE), or the glucocorticoid-responsive element (GRE). Barbiturates may transcriptionally activate GST through a Barbie box element. The involvement of the Ah-receptor, Maf, Nrl, Jun, Fos, and NF-κB in GST induction is discussed. Many of the compounds that induce GST are themselves substrates for these enzymes, or are metabolized (by cytochrome P-450 monooxygenases) to compounds that can serve as GST substrates, suggesting that GST induction represents part of an adaptive response mechanism to chemical stress caused by electrophiles. It also appears probable that GST are regulated in vivoby reactive oxygen species (ROS), because not only are some of the most potent inducers capable of generating free radicals by redox-cycling, but H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>has been shown to induce GST in plant and mammalian cells: induction of GST by ROS would appear to represent an adaptive response as these enzymes detoxify some of the toxic carbonyl-, peroxide-, and epoxide-containing metabolites produced within the cell by oxidative stress.

Class alpha, mu, and pi GST isoenzymes are overexpressed in rat hepatic preneoplastic nodules and the increased levels of these enzymes are believed to contribute to the multidrug-resistant phenotype observed in these lesions. The majority of human tumors and human tumor cell lines express significant amounts of class pi GST. Cell lines selected in vitrofor resistance to anticancer drugs frequently overexpress class pi GST, although overexpression of class alpha and mu isoenzymes is also often observed. The

mechanisms responsible for overexpression of GST include transcriptional activation, stabilization of either mRNA or protein, and gene amplification.

In humans, marked interindividual differences exist in the expression of class alpha, mu, and theta GST. The molecular basis for the variation in class alpha GST is not known. Absence of certain class mu and theta GST can be attributed to deletion of the GSTMIgene in 50% of the population and deletion of the GSTTIgene in 16% of the population. The biological consequences of failure to express hGSTMI or hGSTTI protein can include susceptibility to bladder, colon, skin, and possibly lung cancer.

### Key Words:



#### Related Research Data

Enzymology of Microsomal Glutathione S-Transferase

Source: Unknown Repository

Regulation of aflatoxin B1-metabolizing aldehyde reductase and glutathione S-

transferase by chemoprotectors

Source: Biochemical Journal

An Arabidopsis gene with homology to glutathione S-transferases is regulated by ethylene

Source: Plant Molecular Biology

DNA Adduction by the Potent Carcinogen Aflatoxin B1: Mechanistic Studies

Source: Journal of the American Chemical Society

Purification of glutathione S-transferases from human liver by glutathione-affinity

chromatography

Source: Analytical Biochemistry

Biotransformation of methyl isocyanate in the rat. Evidence for glutathione conjugation as a major pathway of metabolism and implications for isocyanate-

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 2576

XIAP-ULK1-Mediated mitophagy modulates carnitine metabolism to mitigate diabetic kidney disease >

Hongtu Hu et al.

Autophagy

Published online: 25 Oct 2025

Pathogenicity and pathobiological characterization of a recombinant genotype I/II African swine fever virus in pigs >

Hu Suk Lee et al.

Virulence

Published online: 25 Oct 2025

8

Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (4th edition)1 >

Daniel J. Klionsky et al.

Autophagy

Published online: 8 Feb 2021

View more

Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

**Books** 

#### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG