



1,524 68

Views

CrossRef citations to date

59

Altmetric

Research Article

# Modelling vulnerability to gambling related harm: How disadvantage predicts gambling losses

Angela C. Rintoul , Charles Livingstone, Andrew P. Mellor & Damien Jolley

Pages 329-338 | Received 01 Apr 2012, Accepted 03 Sep 2012, Published online: 24 Oct 2012

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.3109/16066359.2012.727507>

Sample our  
Medicine, Dentistry, Nursing  
& Allied Health Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

## Abstract

Electronic gambling machines (EGMs) are ubiquitous in social venues such as hotels and clubs in most Australian states, and account for 55% of total gambling expenditure in Australia; they are also associated with most gambling derived harm. Because of the difficulty of assessing the prevalence of problem gambling and the incidence of gambling derived harms, gambling expenditure (i.e., the losses of those gambling) is often used in gambling research as a proxy indicator of harm. This study examines the relationship between socioeconomic disadvantage (measured by the Australian Bureau of Statistics SEIFA Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage [IRSD]), and EGM losses at the suburban level across a major Australian city. It develops a predictive spatial model of gambling vulnerability and presents the output visually. The findings

reveal increasing levels of loss as disadvantage increases across IRSD quintiles. The highest mean annual EGM losses of \$849 per adult (95% CI \$AU749–963) occurred in areas classified in IRSD Quintile 1, the most disadvantaged areas; in the least disadvantaged areas, mean annual losses were \$298 per adult (CI \$260 –\$342). The density of EGMs confounds the relationship between losses and disadvantage. In this model, 40% of the apparent effect of disadvantage is explained by the density of EGMs. The vulnerability surface reflects socioeconomic patterns across Melbourne. EGM vulnerability is clustered (Moran's Index 0.52;  $p < 0.001$ ). High levels of EGM density in disadvantaged areas are contributing to a disproportionate share of EGM losses in already disadvantaged neighbourhoods. Regulation of EGMs could be improved to better protect vulnerable neighbourhoods from EGM harm.

[Gambling](#) [EGM](#) [slots](#) [socioeconomic disadvantage](#) [public health policy](#) [GIS](#)

Related Research Data

[Predicting the spatial distribution of gambling vulnerability: An application of gravity modeling using ABS Mesh Blocks](#)

Source: Applied Geography

[Harm promotion: observations on the symbiosis between government and private industries in Australasia for the development of highly accessible gambling markets](#)

Source: Addiction

[Mapping the prevalence of problem gambling and its association with treatment accessibility and proximity to gambling venues](#)

Source: Journal of Gambling Issues

[Income inequality and population health: A review and explanation of the evidence](#)

Source: Social Science & Medicine

[Does a reduction in the overall amount of gambling imply a reduction at all levels of gambling?](#)

Source: Addiction Research & Theory

[Modelling gambling time and economic assignments to weekly trip behaviour to](#)

## Information for

[Authors](#)[R&D professionals](#)[Editors](#)[Librarians](#)[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)[Advertising solutions](#)[Accelerated publication](#)[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)[Open journals](#)[Open Select](#)[Dove Medical Press](#)[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)[Newsroom](#)[All journals](#)[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

[Sign me up](#)