



Accounting Education >

Volume 15, 2006 - [Issue 1](#)

725 | 19 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

Why DCF capital budgeting is bad for business and why business schools should stop teaching it

Ralph W. Adler

Pages 3-10 | Published online: 23 Aug 2006

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/06939280500452843>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Why DCF Capital Budgeting is Bad for Business and Why Business Schools Should Stop Teaching it

RALPH W. ADLER

University of Otago, New Zealand

Introduction

As educators, we are constantly making decisions about course content. Each year, as we begin our preparations for writing our new or updated course outlines, such questions as what topics to include, modify, or exclude, are contemplated and re-contemplated. When making these decisions, an implicit or explicit decision is made on what is or is not 'essential.' Exactly what constitutes an essential topic derives partly from what is mandated, partly from what is institutionalised, and partly from what twinkles or pales 'in the eye of the beholder.' Topic mandates primarily come from the professional accounting bodies with which university accounting departments maintain links. These professional bodies exert a strong influence on course content. Any university accounting department that hopes to attain/retain the professional body's accreditation must show evidence that it is meeting the Body of Knowledge espoused by and generally encapsulated in the professional body's course outline(s). The obvious consequence is that a substantial amount of the course content is mandated and driven by the professional bodies.

Institutionalization serves to guide course content in two main ways. First, educators, either through a process of formal or informal benchmarking, frequently monitor developments in the course topic coverage of their peers and colleagues. Agreement converges around some belief about 'best practice,' which is then accepted and adopted into the course content. A second way in which institutionalization occurs is through textbook adoption. When a course textbook is specified, a resulting commitment is made to a set of topics. The fact that there are usually a small number of popular textbooks, featuring a similar set of topics, helps fuel the process of institutionalization.

The educator comprises the third influence on course content. The typical educator's response to the field of accounting's expanding subject diversity and technical complexity is to add topics to his/her course outlines. Adler and Milne's (1997) study showed that educators displayed a seemingly irresistible urge to augment course topics. In particular, 50% of the educators they interviewed, and 41% of the educators to whom they sent a mailed questionnaire stated they needed to expand course content.

Correspondence Address: Ralph W. Adler, Department of Accountancy, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand. Email: radler@business.otago.ac.nz

0963-9284 Print/1468-4489 Online/06/010003-8 © 2006 Taylor & Francis
 DOI: 10.1080/09639280500452843

➤ Access through your institution

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

➤ Log in

Restore content access

➤ Restore content access for purchases made as guest


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


EUR 48.00

 Add to
cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 546.00

 Add to
cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
19

FREE CASH FLOW (FCF), ECONOMIC VALUE ADDED (EVA™), AND NET PRESENT VALUE (NPV):. A RECONCILIATION OF VARIATIONS OF DISCOUNTED-CASH-FLOW (DCF) VALUATION >

RONALD E. SHRIVES et al.
The Engineering Economist
Published online: 30 May 2007

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG