

707 | 19 | 0  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles


# Why DCF capital budgeting is bad for business and why business schools should stop teaching it

Ralph W. Adler 

Pages 3-10 | Published online: 23 Aug 2006

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/06939280500452843>

 Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

## We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 878 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

Identify you and others using precise geolocation data

 I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



# Why DCF Capital Budgeting is Bad for Business and Why Business Schools Should Stop Teaching it

RALPH W. ADLER

*University of Otago, New Zealand*

## Introduction

As educators, we are constantly making decisions about course content. Each year, as we begin our preparations for writing our new or updated course outlines, such questions as what topics to include, modify, or exclude, are contemplated and re-contemplated. When making these decisions, an implicit or explicit decision is made on what is or is not 'essential.' Exactly what constitutes an essential topic derives partly from what is mandated, partly from what is institutionalised, and partly from what twinkles or pales 'in the eye of the beholder.' Topic mandates primarily come from the professional accounting bodies with which university accounting departments maintain links. These professional bodies exert a strong influence on course content. Any university accounting department that hopes to attain/retain the professional body's accreditation must show evidence that it is meeting the Body of Knowledge espoused by and generally encapsulated in the professional body's course outline(s). The obvious consequence is that a substantial amount of the course content is mandated and driven by the professional bodies.

Institutionalising course content is a trap, in my view. First, educators



> Access through your institution

## Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

> Log in

## Restore content access

> Restore content access for purchases made as guest


### Purchase options \*

Save for later

#### PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


EUR 48.00

 Add to  
cart

#### Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 5

 Add to  
cart

\* Local tax



## Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

## Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

## Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

## Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright

Accessib

Registered  
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group  
orma business

