

Global Change, Peace & Security >

formerly Pacifica Review: Peace, Security & Global Change

Volume 17, 2005 - Issue 1: Climate Change and the Reluctant States

752 | 5 | 0
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Miscellany

Developing countries and the future of the kyoto protocol

Frank Jotzo *

Pages 77-86 | Published online: 07 Aug 2006

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/0951274052000319373>

Sample our
Politics & International
Relations Journals
>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 842 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

[List of Partners \(vendors\)](#)

 I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose

Communication

Developing Countries and the Future of the Kyoto Protocol

FRANK JOTZO*

(Australian National University)

Fundamental Dilemmas

Developing countries are home to five out of the six billion people, but historically have contributed only around one-quarter of the greenhouse gases from energy consumption now accumulated in the atmosphere. Energy use and emissions per person in developing countries on average are still only around one-quarter of those in industrialized countries. Resources for economic restructuring are much more limited in developing countries, with average per capita incomes less than one-quarter of those in the industrialized world.¹ Moreover, adverse impacts of climate change are likely to affect developing countries particularly badly.

A compelling ethical case can thus be made that poorer countries should be free to develop economically without greenhouse gas constraints, while rich countries—which after all have built much of their wealth through energy-intensive industrialization—should go ahead and reduce their emissions in the global interest. Poorer countries insist on their ‘right to develop’, and greenhouse gas constraints (along with other environmental policies) are often seen as obstacles to development. As Adil Najam observed,

The principal and unchanged interest of the South has remained *development* and a better quality of life for its people; its principal fear, that the North is using environmental issues as an excuse to pull up the development ladder behind it.²

But limiting global warming to avoid the worst of the potential negative impacts will require a drastic change in the emissions trajectories in both rich and poor countries, so developing countries will need to take part in the effort. Developing countries already account for around half of annual global greenhouse gas emissions, and future emissions growth will come mainly from current developing countries.

Engaging key developing countries is also vital to help make greenhouse gas control politically acceptable in industrialized countries. There is little prospect of the US taking



Acknowledgements

A number of people

Special thanks to

remaining errors in fact or interpretation are mine.

ents.

n. Any

Notes

Comparing GNP adjusted for purchasing power. The difference is even greater when comparing GNP at exchange rates. Data from World Resources Institute (WRI), Climate Analysis Indicator Tool (Washington, 2003).

Frank Jotzo, Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies (CRES), Hancock Building, Australian National University, Canberra 0200, Australia. Tel: + 61-2-612-50656. Fax + 61-2-612-50757. Email: < frank.jotzo@anu.edu.au >

Adil Najam, 'An Environmental Negotiating Strategy for the South', International Environmental Affairs, 7 (1995), pp. 249-287.

Text of a letter from the President to Senators Hagel, Helms, Craig and Roberts, White House press release (13 March 2001).

Australia's Federal Minister for the Environment and Heritage, David Kemp, 'Expert Debunks Government Critics on Kyoto', media release (16 September 2002).

Tom Jacob, 'Reflections on Delhi', Climate Policy, 3 (2003), pp. 103-106.

Marcela Valente, 'Climate Change: A Small Victory for the Developing World' (July 2004), < <http://www.globalinfo.org> > .

'Introduction'. Anil Agarwal. Sunita Narain. Ania Sharma. Achila Imchen (eds), Global Environmental Change and the Environment, 1997, pp. 1-10.

See also: 'Beyond Kyoto: Developing Countries and the Climate Change Regime' (2003), pp. 221-231.

Sebastian von Weltzien Hoivik, 'Climate Policy for the 21st Century', Climate Policy, 3 (2003), pp. 107-116.

White House press release (May 2001), < <http://www.whitehouse.gov> > .



Barry G. Rabe, Greenhouse and Statehouse: The Evolving State Government Role in Climate Change (Arlington, VA: PEW Center, 2002).

The Lieberman-McCain Climate Stewardship Act of October 2003.

See for example Warwick J. McKibbin and Peter J. Wilcoxon, Climate Change Policy after Kyoto: Blueprint for a Realistic Approach (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press, 2002). For the economic reasoning on taxes versus permits, see Martin L. Weitzman, 'Prices vs Quantities', Review of Economic Studies, 41 (1974), pp. 477-491; and William A. Pizer, 'Combining Price and Quantity Controls to Mitigate Global Climate Change', Journal of Public Economics, 85 (2002), pp. 409-434.

See Frank Convery, 'EU Emissions Trading Developments', AETF Review, (August/September, 2003), pp. 2-3.

Jürgen Trittin, 'The Role of the Nation State in International Environmental Policy', Global Environmental Politics, 4 (2004), pp. 23-28.

Prime Minister's speech on climate change, London (14 September 2004), < <http://www.number-10.gov.uk/output/page6333.asp> > (accessed 15 September 2004).

The UK and Swedish governments have called for EU commitments to cut emissions by 60 per cent by 2050 compared with 1990, while the German government proposed a cut of 40 per cent by 2020, if an overall cut for the European Union of 30 per cent by 2020 can be agreed.

See Robyn Eckersley, 'Soft Law, Hard Politics and the Climate Change Treaty', in Christian ...
University of Cambridge

See A ... and
Converg ... house Gas
Emissi ... ade Climate
Char ... 999). See
also the

See th ... ld regions:
H. E. Ott ... A. P. Sari, J.
Pan, Y. S ... n, South-
North Dialogue on Equity in the Greenhouse. A Proposal for an Adequate and Equitable

Global Climate Agreement (Wuppertal, Germany: Wuppertal Institute and Cape Town, South Africa: Energy Research Centre, 2004). See also the position paper by the international climate NGO network Climate Action Network, *A Viable Global Framework for Preventing Dangerous Climate Change* (Milan: Climate Action Network International, 2003); and a number of proposals originating from research institutes, such as that by Axel Michaelowa, Sonja Butzengeiger and Martina Jung, *Graduation and Deepening: An Ambitious Post-2012 Climate Policy Scenario* (Hamburg Institute of International Economics [HWWA], 2003).

Under an intensity target, emissions permits are linked to GDP growth, thereby compensating for fluctuations that stem from changes in economic activity. Under non-binding targets, some developing countries would be given the option to walk away from their commitments without penalty if compliance turned out to be difficult or costly. See Cedric Philibert and Jonathan Pershing, 'Considering the Options: Climate Targets for All Countries', *Climate Policy*, 1 (2001), pp. 211-227; and Frank Jotzo and John C.V. Pezzey, 'Flexible Greenhouse Targets under Uncertainty', manuscript, Australian National University, Canberra (2004).

Daniel Bouille and Osvaldo Girardin, 'Learning from the Argentine Voluntary Commitment', in Kevin A. Baumert, O. Blanchard, S. Llosa, J. F. Perkaus (eds), *Building on the Kyoto Protocol: Options for protecting the climate*, (Washington: World Resources Institute, 2002).

Australian Greenhouse Office, *Australia's Third National Communication on Climate Change, Report under the United National Framework Convention on Climate Change* (Canberra: Australian Greenhouse Office, 2004).

Data from the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Progress Report (2004).

See Li Xing, 'China's Climate Change Policy: A Review of the CDM?', *China and the World* (Bangkok: Asian Development Bank, 2003); and on China's climate change policy, see also the report by the Asian Development Bank, *China's Climate Change Policy: A Review of the CDM Options* (Bangkok: Asian Development Bank, 2003).

Ken Jotzo, 'China's Climate Change Policy: A Review of the CDM Options', *Journal of Environment & Development*, 13(1) (2004), pp. 1-18.



J. J. McCarthy, O. F. Canziani, N. A. Leary, D. J. Dokken, K. S. White (eds), *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation & Vulnerability*, Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001).

Benito Müller, *Equity in Global Climate Change: The Great Divide* (Oxford: Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, 2002).

See Noreen Beg, Jan Corfee Morlot, Ogunlade Davidson, Y. Afrane-Okesse, L. Tyani, F. Denton, Y. Sokona, J. P. Thomas, E. L. La Rovere, J. K. Parikh, K. Parikh and A. A. Rahman, 'Linkages between Climate Change and Sustainable Development', *Climate Policy*, 2 (2002), pp. 129–144; and A. Najam, S. Huq, and Y. Sokona, 'Climate Negotiations beyond Kyoto: Developing Countries' Concerns and Interests', *Climate Policy*, 3 (2003), pp. 221–231.

Tom Athanasiou and Paul Baer, *Dead Heat: Global Justice and Global Warming* (New York: Seven Stories Press, 2002).

Log in via your institution

> Access through your institution

Log in

> Log in

Restore

> Restore


Purchase

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


USD 53.00

 Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 1,538.00

 Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
5

Non-state actors in global climate governance: from Copenhagen to Paris and beyond >

Karin Bäckstrand et al.

Environn

Publishe

From en
policy i

Sebasti

Journal

Publ

The Par

Annalis

Journal c

Publishe



Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Wiley
John Wiley & Francis Group
Wiley Informa business

Copyright © 2014 Wiley

Accessibility

Registered
5 Howick Place

