



Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Humanities ▶ Cultural Trends ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 26, Issue ▷ The ultimate calamity scenario in US art

Cultural Trends > Volume 26, 2017 - <u>Issue 4</u>

571 1 1 1 Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Multiple Perspectives on the Trump Administration's Attitude to Cultural Funding


The ultimate calamity scenario in US arts funding: eliminating the national endowment for the arts

Felicia K. Knight

Pages 341-344 | Published online: 29 Sep 2017

66 Cite this article

▶ https://doi.org/10.1080/09548963.2017.1383001



Sample our
Humanities
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Ar

A Repri

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 907 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

and the second of the second o

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose

cuts to the NEA budget will make it more difficult to achieve these goals, but it will not fundamentally divert the city.

New York City is only the most striking example of the increased commitment of states and localities to viewing culture and the arts as a fundamental dimension of social wellbeing. Cities have for many years seen their cultural institutions as a way to promote tourism and economic development. Increasingly, they are coming to understand that every neighborhood has cultural assets that can improve the lives of those who live in the city, as well as those who visit.

As Engels and Marx noted, history repeats itself, first as tragedy and then as farce. The current federal administration's efforts to undermine public funding for the arts, like its efforts to deny climate change, revive the coal industry, and reverse the gains of black and Latino residents, are unlikely to have a lasting effect on the nation. Rather, the continuing threat to the cultural sector and its ability to contribute to the social wellbeing of the nation's residents is the specter of social inequality. The forces driving this inequality remain deeply entrenched in our economy and society. Although we can be heartened that our political leaders are no longer ignoring the issue, it remains an open question if political commitment alone is powerful enough to reverse the impact of inequality on our neighborhoods and cultural communities.

References

Hodgson, G. (1976). America: In our time. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.

Johnson, L. B. (1965). Remarks at the signing of the arts and humanities bill, September 29, 1965. Online by Gerhard Peters & John T. Woolley. The American presidency project. Retrieved from http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/?pid=27279

Stern, M. J., & Seifert, S. C. (2017). The social wellbeing of New York City's neighborhoods: The contribution of culture and the arts. Philadelphia, PA: Social Impact of the Arts Project. Retrieved from http://repository.upenn.edu/siap_culture_nyc/1

PERSPECTIVE

X



Notes

1 http://

2 https://

3 https:

ut-the-nea

