







Q

Home ► All Journals ► Economics, Finance & Business ► Accounting, Business & Financial History ► List of Issues ► Volume 15, Issue 1 ► Direct versus absorption costing: A comm

Accounting, Business & Financial History >

Volume 15, 2005 - Issue 1

902 3

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Miscellany

Direct versus absorption costing: A comment

Will T. Baxter *

Pages 89-91 | Published online: 01 Feb 2007

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



Figures & data

66 Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Accounting, Business & Financial History Vol. 15, No. 1, 89-91, March 2005



Direct Versus Absorption Costing: A Comment

WILL T. BAXTER

London School of Economics and Political Science, UK

In November 2003, Accounting, Business and Financial History published a notable article on 'Battles in the costing war' by David Dugdale and T. Colwyn Jones. The 'war' was between supporters of direct costing and of absorption costing. Their disputes broke out from time to time in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, and filled many pages in journals such as The Cost Accountant (as it was then). The 'war' was sometimes fierce: the article quotes with relish words such as 'horror', 'passion', 'distress', and 'rising blood pressure'.

The article suggests that neither side has been a clear winner in this war: some firms use direct figures, but absorption costing is probably the most common. Since the topic is important for accountants, some extension of the article's analysis may be useful.

The Roles of 'Cost'

The 'cost' in question is that of a firm's unit of product or activity (here a 'job'). This figure may serve as a measure of value, for example, in financial reporting. And it acts as a handy guide to many decisions. Thus, it can be compared with a product's sale price; if cost of a unit is less than price, the product may be worth making.

A cost not only points to outflow of cash or other assets, but also warns of a job's claims on the firm's productive capacity — and consequent loss of revenue from alternative work.

Direct Costing

The advocates of direct cost hold that cost can be found only by looking at figures that can be traced home to the job – notably those of materials and labour. Figures that the job does not change, no matter how alluring, should be ignored. Thus overhead is here irrelevant.

Advocates of direct costing have long cited its usefulness in practice. But lately it has found intellectual backing in the economists' teaching on marginal analysis, stressing that

Correspondence Address: Will T. Baxter, Department of Accounting & Finance, London School of Economics and Political Science, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, UK.

0958-5206 Print/1466-4275 Online/05/010089−03 © 2005 Taylor & Francis Group Ltd DOI: 10.1080/09585200500033139

Log in via your institution

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online Log in Restore content access > Restore content access for purchases made as guest Purchase options * Save for later PDF download + Online access • 48 hours access to article PDF & online version · Article PDF can be downloaded · Article PDF can be printed EUR 48.00 📜 Add to cart Issue Purchase • 30 days online access to complete issue · Article PDFs can be downloaded · Article PDFs can be printed EUR 490.00 📜 Add to



* Local tax will be added as applicable



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG