







Q

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 27, Issue 3-4 ▶ A Complainant's View of the Local Govern

Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law > Volume 27, 2005 - Issue 3-4

359 3

0

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Ombudsman Section

A Complainant's View of the Local Government Ombudsman

By Richard Kirkham

Pages 383-394 | Published online: 18 Feb 2007

66 Cite this article

https://doi.org/10.1080/09649060500386935

Sample our
Social Sciences
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

66 Citations

Metrics

➡ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 843 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. Privacy Policy

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Onl

Show Purpose



Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law Vol. 27, Nos 3-4, December 2005, pp. 383-394



Ombudsman Section


A Complainant's View of the Local Government Ombudsman

By Richard Kirkham

Introduction

While public sector ombudsmen (Ombudsmen) have played an important part in improving the provision of redress over the last 40 years, the ombudsman sector cannot afford to be complacent. This point is regularly made by the Ombudsmen themselves (e.g. Parliamentary Ombudsman, 2004a, p. 3). It is also fair to say that not everyone is convinced of the effectiveness of the ombudsman sector. In a recent Parliamentary review of the work of the Local Government Ombudsman (LGO), the Select Committee on the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister¹ received a number of submissions from dissatisfied users of the service provided by the LGO (Select Committee on the ODPM, 2004-2005, Ev 16-28). In amongst these submissions, there were even proposals for the abolition of the LGO (ibid., Ev 26). To a certain extent, such criticisms misunderstand the purpose of public sector redress mechanisms and the remit of the Ombudsmen. However, two essential aspects of the work of the Ombudsmen are their capacity to provide redress and their ability to be seen to establish a fair resolution of a complaint. The Parliamentary select committee investigation does at least raise the possibility that the LGO is failing to optimise its potential in these respects.

This article seeks to explore some of the issues that face the complainant in their dealings with the LGO and will assess whether there are any lessons to be learnt from the submissions made to the Select Committee on the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. The particular focus will be on the work of the LGO, although some of the points made apply equally to the other Ombudsmen.








Acknov


I am gra


f this piece.

Notes

- 1. Otherwise known as the Housing, Planning, Local Government and the Regions Committee.
- 2. In Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, the equivalent work of the LGO is performed by the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman, the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales and the Northern Ireland Commissioner for Complaints.
- 3. The figure is an approximation, but is derived from the 2,405 complaints that the LGO deemed were outside jurisdiction, the 4,713 complaints that were premature, and the 2,892 complaints where the LGO used their discretion not to pursue the matter (Local Government Ombudsman, 2005, p. 27).
- 4. These are known in ombudsman jargon as 'comebacks'.
- 5. Note that the Financial Services Ombudsman approaches complaints slightly differently, in that he employs an independent assessor to consider complaints about the service of the ombudsman, albeit the assessor cannot reconsider the complaint itself (Financial Services Ombudsman, 2005, pp. 46–48).
- 6. Jerry White and Tony Redmond.







Information for Open access Authors Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up Taylor & Francis Group Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions Accessib X

