



Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law >

Volume 27, 2005 - [Issue 3-4](#)

375 | 4 | 0  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Ombudsman Section

# A Complainant's View of the Local Government Ombudsman

By Richard Kirkham

Pages 383-394 | Published online: 18 Feb 2007

“ Cite this article   <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649060500386935>

Sample our  
Social Sciences  
Journals



>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

“ Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share



## Ombudsman Section

# A Complainant's View of the Local Government Ombudsman

By Richard Kirkham

### Introduction

While public sector ombudsmen (Ombudsmen) have played an important part in improving the provision of redress over the last 40 years, the ombudsman sector cannot afford to be complacent. This point is regularly made by the Ombudsmen themselves (e.g. Parliamentary Ombudsman, 2004a, p. 3). It is also fair to say that not everyone is convinced of the effectiveness of the ombudsman sector. In a recent Parliamentary review of the work of the Local Government Ombudsman (LGO), the Select Committee on the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister<sup>1</sup> received a number of submissions from dissatisfied users of the service provided by the LGO (Select Committee on the ODP, 2004–2005, Ev 16–28). In amongst these submissions, there were even proposals for the abolition of the LGO (ibid., Ev 26). To a certain extent, such criticisms misunderstand the purpose of public sector redress mechanisms and the remit of the Ombudsmen. However, two essential aspects of the work of the Ombudsmen are their capacity to provide redress and their ability to be *seen* to establish a fair resolution of a complaint. The Parliamentary select committee investigation does at least raise the possibility that the LGO is failing to optimise its potential in these respects.

This article seeks to explore some of the issues that face the complainant in their dealings with the LGO and will assess whether there are any lessons to be learnt from the submissions made to the Select Committee on the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. The particular focus will be on the work of the LGO, although some of the points made apply equally to the other Ombudsmen.

### Difficulties in Using the Ombudsman

The LGO has become one of the most important complaint mechanisms in the civil justice sector, dealing with more complaints than any of the other Ombudsmen. One reflection of this higher workload is the fact that in England there are three LGOs.<sup>2</sup> As with other Ombudsmen, the LGO's workload has generally increased since it was originally introduced in 1974. In recent years, it has received almost 19,000 complaints a year (Local Government Ombudsman, 2005, p. 19). Despite being proud of its achievements, the LGO is aware that current arrangements need to be

ISSN 0964-9069 print/1469-9621 online © 2005 Taylor & Francis  
DOI: 10.1080/09649060500386935

## Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Rhoda James for her helpful comments on an earlier draft of this piece.



# Notes

1. Otherwise known as the Housing, Planning, Local Government and the Regions Committee.
2. In Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, the equivalent work of the LGO is performed by the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman, the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales and the Northern Ireland Commissioner for Complaints.
3. The figure is an approximation, but is derived from the 2,405 complaints that the LGO deemed were outside jurisdiction, the 4,713 complaints that were premature, and the 2,892 complaints where the LGO used their discretion not to pursue the matter (Local Government Ombudsman, [2005](#), p. 27).
4. These are known in ombudsman jargon as 'comebacks'.
5. Note that the Financial Services Ombudsman approaches complaints slightly differently, in that he employs an independent assessor to consider complaints about the service of the ombudsman, albeit the assessor cannot reconsider the complaint itself (Financial Services Ombudsman, [2005](#), pp. 46–48).
6. Jerry White and Tony Redmond.

---

Log in via your institution

➤ [Access through your institution](#)

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

➤ [Log in](#)

Restore content access

➤ [Restore content access for purchases made as guest](#)



PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed

EUR 48.00

Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 367.00

Add to cart

\* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research 

i

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 4



## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group  
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG