

366 | 4 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Ombudsman Section

A Complainant's View of the Local Government Ombudsman

By Richard Kirkham

Pages 383-394 | Published online: 18 Feb 2007

🗨 Cite this article 🔗 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649060500386935>

Sample our
Law
Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 Full Article

📊 Figures & data

📖 References

🗨 Citations

📊 Metrics

📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

🔗 Share

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 894 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage .Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose

Ombudsman Section

A Complainant's View of the Local Government Ombudsman

By Richard Kirkham

Introduction

While public sector ombudsmen (Ombudsmen) have played an important part in improving the provision of redress over the last 40 years, the ombudsman sector cannot afford to be complacent. This point is regularly made by the Ombudsmen themselves (e.g. Parliamentary Ombudsman, 2004a, p. 3). It is also fair to say that not everyone is convinced of the effectiveness of the ombudsman sector. In a recent Parliamentary review of the work of the Local Government Ombudsman (LGO), the Select Committee on the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister¹ received a number of submissions from dissatisfied users of the service provided by the LGO (Select Committee on the ODPM, 2004–2005, Ev 16–28). In amongst these submissions, there were even proposals for the abolition of the LGO (*ibid.*, Ev 26). To a certain extent, such criticisms misunderstand the purpose of public sector redress mechanisms and the remit of the Ombudsmen. However, two essential aspects of the work of the Ombudsmen are their capacity to provide redress and their ability to be *seen* to establish a fair resolution of a complaint. The Parliamentary select committee investigation does at least raise the possibility that the LGO is failing to optimise its potential in these respects.

This article seeks to explore some of the issues that face the complainant in their dealings with the LGO and will assess whether there are any lessons to be learnt from



Acknow

I am gra

f this piece.

Notes

1. Otherwise known as the Housing, Planning, Local Government and the Regions Committee.
2. In Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, the equivalent work of the LGO is performed by the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman, the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales and the Northern Ireland Commissioner for Complaints.
3. The figure is an approximation, but is derived from the 2,405 complaints that the LGO deemed were outside jurisdiction, the 4,713 complaints that were premature, and the 2,892 complaints where the LGO used their discretion not to pursue the matter (Local Government Ombudsman, [2005](#), p. 27).
4. These are known in ombudsman jargon as 'comebacks'.
5. Note that the Financial Services Ombudsman approaches complaints slightly differently, in that he employs an independent assessor to consider complaints about the service of the ombudsman, albeit the assessor cannot reconsider the complaint itself (Financial Services Ombudsman, [2005](#), pp. 46–48).
6. Jerry White and Tony Redmond.



Log in

> Account

Local

> Log out

Restore

> Reset




Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


EUR 48.00

 Add to
cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 367.00

 Add to
cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved. John Wiley & Francis Group
Wiley is a registered trademark of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Wiley Online Library is a service mark of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Accessibility

Registered
5 Howick Place

