





Home ► All Journals

Built Environment

► Housing, Theory and Societ

List of Issue

▶ Volume 29, Issue 1 ▶ Related Risks: Foreclosure, Health Probl

Housing, Theory and Society > Volume 29, 2012 - <u>Issue 1</u>

182 2

0

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Comments

Related Risks: Foreclosure, Health Problems and Economic Insecurity in the USA

Dan Immergluck

Pages 25-30 | Published online: 04 Nov 2011

66 Cite this article

▲ https://doi.org/10.1080/14036096.2012.624880

Sample our
Urban Studies
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

66 Citations

Metrics

Reprir

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 912 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

and the second of the second o

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose

Housing, Theory and Society Vol. 29, No. 1, 25–30, 2012



COMMENT

Related Risks: Foreclosure, Health Problems and Economic Insecurity in the USA

DAN IMMERGLUCK


School of City and Regional Planning, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

Libman, Fields and Saegert provide a rich analysis of how health problems and mortgage distress in the USA are often wrapped up in a complex web of common causation and vicious circles. Their social ecological approach goes beyond the sometimes reductionist and overly individualistic methods that some social scientists tend to employ in examining connections between issues such as poor health and foreclosure. For example, rather than trying to measure the strength of causation running from foreclosure to health problems, or visa versa, they provide qualitative evidence that causation runs in both directions and take the emphasis off precisely measuring which direction is stronger. More importantly, they make a compelling argument that larger structural forces put many of the same sorts of households – and sometimes precisely the same households – at a severe disadvantage in these two critical and interacting arenas. They do this through a synthesis of the literature linking housing distress and health outcomes together with direct observations from their multi-city focus groups held at the early stages of the US foreclosure crisis.

The authors aroue that changes in health care and housing markets have com-



×



Information for Open access Authors Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up X or & Francis Group Copyright