



Quantitative Finance >
Volume 13, 2013 - Issue 2

213	7	0
Views	CrossRef citations to date	Altmetric

Features


Smoothed safety first and the holding of assets

M. Ryan Haley , Harry J. Paarsch & Charles H. Whiteman

Pages 167-176 | Received 17 Jan 2010, Accepted 28 Jun 2012, Published online: 25 Jan 2013

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2012.713113>

Sample our
Mathematics & Statistics
Journals
>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 845 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

 I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose



© 2012 iStockphoto LP

Smoothed safety first and the holding of assets

M. RYAN HALEY^{*†}, HARRY J. PAARSCH[‡] and CHARLES H. WHITEMAN^{§¶}

[†]Department of Economics, University of Wisconsin Oshkosh, 800 Algoma Blvd.,
Oshkosh, WI 54901, USA

[‡]Department of Economics, University of Melbourne, Level 5, Arts West Building, Parkville 3010 VIC,
Australia

[§]Department of Economics, University of Iowa, PA Pappajohn Business Bldg, Iowa City, IA 52242-1994, USA

(Received 17 January 2010; in final form 28 June 2012)

1. Introduction and motivation

Solving the portfolio-selection problem has been an important focus of research in finance during the past half century, with numerous strategies having been proposed. Some particularly popular and useful methods have emerged, most notably mean-variance rules and expected utility functions. A competing family of methods involves rules that minimize, in various ways, the probability of realizing a portfolio return below some predetermined benchmark (or target) level of return. Rules derived from this objective are often referred to as

Perhaps the earliest and most celebrated of these shortfall methods is the Safety First (SF) principle of Roy (1952), revisited by Hansmann (1968) and many others. The semi-variance methods of Markowitz (1970) and the lower partial-moment methods of Bawa (1976,1978) likewise build on the notion of minimizing the chance of realizing a return below some predetermined benchmark. Related to these approaches is the Sortino ratio (see, for example, Sortino and Price 1994) which is a version of the Sharpe ratio (SR) (see, for example, Sharpe 1994) that penalizes only downside risk. Others, such as Chang (2001), have demonstrated the



The
University

alized Safety
ted (IID),

GSF is equivalent to Stutzer's (2000) PPI; when the logarithm of gross asset returns is

IID, GSF is equivalent to Stutzer's (2003) Decay Rate Maximizing Portfolio. Net IID returns are used below, so in this context, PPI and GSF are interchangeable terms, but the analysis can be extended to the logarithm of gross returns if desired. The interested reader is referred to these papers for complete descriptions of these methods.

‡In fact, Markowitz (1999) has referred to Roy's shortfall-based SF rule as a 'tremendous contribution' and wrote (p. 5): 'On the basis of Markowitz (1952), I am often called the father of modern portfolio theory (MPT), but Roy (1952) can claim an equal share of this honour.'

§The wealth exhaustion constraint, $\sum_{n=1}^N w_n = 1$, is implied, but suppressed for notational parsimony throughout the remainder of the paper. Also, short positions are permitted, but all the analysis done here can be adapted to the case of no shorting if desired.

†In the presence of effective pruning strategies, this solution method is tractable for small N in the no-shorting case, but if shorting is permitted the problem becomes extremely computationally intensive, even for small N.

†We experimented with other sigmoid and sigmoid-like functions (such as Extreme Types I and II as well as the logistic, among others). We studied them using simulation methods and via Taylor-series expansions. The latter were particularly helpful in determining how different methods value even- and odd-order moments of the return distribution. After all these various background experiments, the most viable was the Extreme Type I. Of course, an infinite number of sigmoid or sigmoid-like functions exist from which we could select a smoothing function. Perhaps other good choices will be uncovered.

†Note that the optimal linear combination of IID returns. The shape of the joint distribution

‡Even with rounding, substantial hms.

†Stutzer's paper, h the former del, and in the latte function similar to standard power utility.

‡This is true more generally as well.

†We used the MATLAB™ optimization routine `fminunc`, using a quasi-Newton method with a line search subroutine and numerical derivatives. If the reader has a strong preference for analytic first and second derivatives, then they can be derived, but they are cumbersome to manage and return similar results when used in the optimization process. Of course, `fmincon` could be used as well.

†This last finding is not surprising: preference for positively skewed portfolio return distributions (and, thus, an aversion to negatively skewed portfolio return distributions) is a prominent feature of the PPI method; see Stutzer ([2000](#)).

‡In this instance, we used MATLAB™'s `fmincon` routine, though the older `constr` routine also works.

†Similar results were obtained using other reasonable values for d and \cdot .

Log in via your institution

➤ Access through your institution

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

➤ Log

Resto

➤ Res



Purch

×

ave for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed

USD 53.00

 Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 691.00

 Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
7

Generalized Safety First and a New Twist on Portfolio Performance >

M. Ryan Haley et al.

Economic

Publishe



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research
- Help and information
- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

