



I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose

Q

Home ► All Journals ► Economics, Finance & Business ► Quantitative Finance ► List of Issues ► Volume 13, Issue 2 ► Smoothed safety first and the holding of



Volume 13, 2013 - Issue 2

21770ViewsCrossRef citations to dateAltmetric

Features

Smoothed safety first and the holding of assets

M. Ryan Haley M. Harry J. Paarsch & Charles H. Whiteman

Pages 167-176 | Received 17 Jan 2010, Accepted 28 Jun 2012, Published online: 25 Jan 2013

G Cite this article **A** https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2012.713113



We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 911 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage .Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. <u>Here</u>



We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

Quantitative Finance, 2013 Vol. 13, No. 2, 167–176, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2012.713113

Routledge Taylor & Farrels Gr



© 2012 iStockphoto LP

Smoothed safety first and the holding of assets

M. RYAN HALEY*†, HARRY J. PAARSCH‡ and CHARLES H. WHITEMAN§¶

†Department of Economics, University of Wisconsin Oshkosh, 800 Algoma Blvd., Oshkosh, WI 54901, USA

Department of Economics, University of Melbourne, Level 5, Arts West Building, Parkville 3010 VIC, Australia

§Department of Economics, University of Iowa, PA Pappajohn Business Bldg, Iowa City, IA 52242-1994, USA

(Received 17 January 2010; in final form 28 June 2012)



IID, GSF is equivalent to Stutzer's (2003) Decay Rate Maximizing Portfolio. Net IID returns are used below, so in this context, PPI and GSF are interchangeable terms, but the analysis can be extended to the logarithm of gross returns if desired. The interested reader is referred to these papers for complete descriptions of these methods.

‡In fact, Markowitz (<u>1999</u>) has referred to Roy's shortfall-based SF rule as a 'tremendous contribution' and wrote (p. 5): 'On the basis of Markowitz (<u>1952</u>), I am often called the father of modern portfolio theory (MPT), but Roy (<u>1952</u>) can claim an equal share of this honour.'

§The wealth exhaustion constraint, , is implied, but suppressed for notational parsimony throughout the remainder of the paper. Also, short positions are permitted, but all the analysis done here can be adapted to the case of no shorting if desired.

†In the presence of effective pruning strategies, this solution method is tractable for small N in the no-shorting case, but if shorting is permitted the problem becomes extremely computationally intensive, even for small N.

tWe experimented with other sigmoid and sigmoid-like functions (such as Extreme Types I and II as well as the logistic, among others). We studied them using simulation methods and via Taylor-series expansions. The latter were particularly helpful in determining how different methods value even- and odd-order moments of the return

e was the
ctions exist
es will be
imal linear
returns.
the joint
ubstantial
hms.
the former
del, and in
function

‡This is true more generally as well.

†We used the MATLAB[™] optimization routine fminunc, using a quasi-Newton method with a line search subroutine and numerical derivatives. If the reader has a strong preference for analytic first and second derivatives, then they can be derived, but they are cumbersome to manage and return similar results when used in the optimization process. Of course, fmincon could be used as well.

†This last finding is not surprising: preference for positively skewed portfolio return distributions (and, thus, an aversion to negatively skewed portfolio return distributions) is a prominent feature of the PPI method; see Stutzer (2000).

‡In this instance, we used MATLAB[™]'s fmincon routine, though the older constr routine also works.

†Similar results were obtained using other reasonable values for d and .

I og in vie vour institution		
> Acc	×	
Log i		
> Log		
Resto		
Purch		ave for later

 PDF download + Online access 48 hours access to article PDF & online version Article PDF can be downloaded Article PDF can be printed EUR 48.00 	🔀 Add to cart
 Issue Purchase 30 days online access to complete issue Article PDFs can be downloaded Article PDFs can be printed EUR 697.00 	Add to cart
* Local tax will be added as applicable	

Related Research 1

People also read	Recommended articles	Cited by 7
		×

Information for	Open access
Authors	Overview
R&D professionals	Open journals
Editors	Open Select
Librarians	Dove Medical Press
Societies	F1000Research
Opportunities	Help and information
Reprints and e-prints	Help and contact
Advertising solutions	Newsroom
Accelerated publication	All journals
Corporate access solutions	Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 \sim



Ó × or & Francis Group orma business Copyright Registered 5 Howick Pl