







Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Defence Studies ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 4, Issue ▶ The Economics of military outsourcing

Defence Studies > Volume 4, 2004 - Issue 2

2,071 16 3

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Articles

The Economics of military outsourcing

KEITH HARTLEY

Pages 199-206 | Published online: 24 Jan 2007

Sample our
Humanities
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

66 Citations

Metrics

➡ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 848 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. Privacy Policy

data. ITTVacy Tolicy

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose



VIEWPOINT

The Economics of Military Outsourcing

KEITH HARTLEY

The UK Funding Problem

UK defence policy is facing major financial pressures. Defence budgets have been falling in real terms and only recently received a small real terms increase. This budget has to fund a major new equipment programme involving the acquisition of Typhoon, A400M airlifters, missiles, warships, submarines and aircraft carriers. New equipment is costly and costs are rising in real terms, typically by about 10 per cent per annum, which is greater than the increase in the defence budget. An all-volunteer force is also costly to recruit, train and retain, since military personnel require salaries which are higher than in the civilian sector to persuade them to accept the disadvantages of the military employment contract (e.g. discipline; unsocial hours and conditions; and risks of injury and death).

The funding pressures mean that UK defence policy cannot avoid the need for difficult choices: something has to go and the question is what goes? There are four broad policy options, namely, 'equal misery' or another major defence review or higher defence spending or increased efficiency. Typically, governments prefer the 'easy' options of equal misery (e.g. delays in the new equipment programme; reduced training) and increased efficiency. Military outsourcing provides opportunities for efficiency savings. It is not the only option for efficiency improvements. Others include purchasing equipment more cheaply from overseas, especially high technology equipment which is costly to develop and where the UK requires only small quantities (e.g. nuclear-powered submarines); and opening-up all UK defence contracts to competition (i.e. warships where there remains a commitment to build all Royal Navy warships in the UK).



of York.


X

Addit

Notes on contributors

KEITH HARTLEY

Professor Keith Hartley, Director, Centre for Defence Economics, University of York.



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 16

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Siar











×

or & Francis Group