

Financial Analysts Journal >
Volume 66, 2010 - Issue 4

198 Views | 5 CrossRef citations to date | 25 Altmetric

Guest Editorial

Black Swan or Black Turkey? The State of Economic Knowledge and the Crash of 2007–2009

Laurence B. Siegel

Pages 6-10 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v66.n4.4>CFA Institute [member access](#) to the Financial Analysts Journal.

Sample our
Law
Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings



GUEST EDITORIAL

Laurence B. Siegel
 Research Foundation of CFA Institute
 Charlottesville, Virginia
 Ounavarra Capital LLC
 New York City

Black Swan or Black Turkey? The State of Economic Knowledge and the Crash of 2007–2009

Nassim Nicholas Taleb has an elegant explanation for the global financial crisis of 2007–2009: It's a black swan.¹ A black swan is a very bad event that is not easily foreseeable—because prior examples of it are not in the historical data record—but that happens anyway. My explanation is more prosaic: The crisis was a black turkey, an event that is everywhere in the data—it happens all the time—but to which one is willfully blind. Table 1 presents large negative returns on major asset classes around the world over roughly the last century; from that perspective, the 57 percent decline in the S&P 500 Index is hardly unique. There is no mystery to be explained: Markets fluctuate, often violently, and sometimes assets are worth a fraction of what you paid for them.

Yet the market declines of 2007–2009 are sometimes accused of overturning various bodies of more or less established knowledge in financial economics and macroeconomics. Let's examine these accusations.

Table 1. Black Turkeys All Over the Place

Asset Class	Period	Peak-to-Trough Decline
U.S. stocks (real total return)	1911–1920	51%
U.S. stocks (DJIA, daily)	1929–1932	89
Long U.S. Treasury bonds (real total return)	1941–1981	67
U.S. stocks	1973–1974	49
U.K. stocks (real total return)	1972–1974	74
Gold	1980–1985	62
Oil	1980–1986	71
Japanese stocks	1990–2009	82
U.S. stocks (S&P 500)	2000–2002	49
U.S. stocks (NASDAQ)	2000–2002	78
U.S. stocks (S&P 500)	2007–2009	57

Note: All returns are nominal price returns unless otherwise specified.

Guest Editorial is an occasional feature of the Financial Analysts Journal.

This piece reflects the views of the author and does not represent the official views of the FAJ or CFA Institute.

Efficient Market Hypothesis

The efficient market hypothesis (EMH) is a specific proposition about market prices (that they always reflect all available information) and not an assertion that a market economy is an efficient way to organize society (an entirely separate question). The EMH is not realistic, but it is a starting point that enables us to derive many valuable insights in finance, including the capital asset pricing model and the Black–Scholes option pricing model. Although a few diehards may still assert that markets are efficient, the contrary evidence of more than 40 years is overwhelming.

Most financial economists consider the EMH valuable because it places the burden of proof on the analyst who would beat the market. The test of the analyst's ability to make forecasts superior to the market-consensus forecasts is the subsequent alpha, correctly calculated to remove all beta influences.

Thus, the EMH resembles the presumption of the defendant's innocence in a criminal trial. Nobody really thinks that all or even most criminal defendants are innocent, yet it is hard to imagine a fair starting point other than the presumption of innocence. The burden of proof must be on the prosecutor to "prove" the defendant guilty.

As a realistic description of nature, the EMH has long been subject to serious challenges. Perhaps the most vivid is the crash of 19 October 1987, when the U.S. equity market fell by 22 percent in one day and some non-U.S. markets fell even more—for example, Hong Kong declined by 45 percent. In the language of the EMH, only two explanations for such a decline are possible:

1. The price was fair (reflecting all available information) before, during, and after the crash; that is, the fundamental value of U.S. stocks declined by 22 percent in one day.
2. The price was unfair at some point in time—either before the crash (the price was too high), after the crash (the price was too low), or throughout the entire episode except, perhaps, at one instant when the price was just right.

Notes

¹ Nassim N. Taleb, *The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable* (New York: Random House, 2007).

² As I point out, the term MPT, correctly used, signifies a real theory in a philosophical sense and embraces the work of not only Harry Markowitz but also William Sharpe, Merton Miller, Franco Modigliani, Jack Treynor, Fischer Black, and many others.

Markowitz's normative algorithm should be called optimization or mean-variance optimization.

³ Harry M. Markowitz, *Portfolio Selection: Efficient Diversification of Investments* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1959).

⁴ Paul Krugman, "How Did Economists Get It So Wrong?" *New York Times* (2 September 2009): www.nytimes.com/2009/09/06/magazine/06Economic-t.html?_r=1; retrieved 29 May 2010.

⁵ John H. Cochrane, "How Did Paul Krugman Get It So Wrong?" (2009): <http://modeledbehavior.com/2009/09/11/john-cochrane-responds-to-paul-krugman-full-text>; retrieved 29 May 2010.

⁶ Narayana R. Kocherlakota, "Modern Macroeconomic Models as Tools for Economic Policy" (2010): www.minneapolisfed.org/publications_papers/pub_display.cfm?id=4428; retrieved 29 May 2010.

⁷ Even the low measured volatility of the two decades preceding the crash is suspect. Christina Romer, chair of President Obama's Council of Economic Advisers, studied modern macroeconomic data using methods from the more volatile pre-World War II period and concluded that the "Great Moderation" was essentially a data error: Rather than reflecting any actual change, it arose from the changing methods used to measure the volatility of the real economy. See Christina D. Romer, "Is the Stabilization of the Postwar Economy a Figment of the Data?" *American Economic Review*, vol. 76, no. 3 (June 1986):314-334.

Log in via your institution



Loading institutional login options...



Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

> Log in

➤ Restore content access for purchases made as guest


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


USD 53.00

 Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 162.00

 Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
5



Information for

Authors
R&D professionals
Editors
Librarians
Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints
Advertising solutions
Accelerated publication
Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview
Open journals
Open Select
Dove Medical Press
F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact
Newsroom
All journals
Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

