







Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Journal of Economic Issues ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 35, Issue 2 ▶ The Stock Watering Debate: More Light, L

Journal of Economic Issues >

Volume 35, 2001 - Issue 2: Papers From The 2001 AFEE Meeting

15 0
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Papers

The Stock Watering Debate: More Light, Less Heat

Eric R. Hake

Pages 423-430 | Published online: 05 Jan 2016

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

References

66 Citations

Metrics

♣ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 865 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here


We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose





The Stock Watering Debate: More Light, Less Heat

Eric R. Hake

Stock watering, according to its many critics in the early twentieth century, was a way for unscrupulous robber barons to bilk money from the American public. It could also be seen as part of the revolution in accounting practice that made corporate capitalism possible. Thorstein Veblen saw this pretty clearly when, in a *New York Times* special report, he somewhat facetiously defended watered stock as a new form of capitalization, "something no self-respecting corporation should be without" (Defense 1903, 6).

The new accounting practices that allowed stock watering should also be seen as the legitimation of claims on the flow of national income. As institutionalists appreciate, the claims on income regarded as legitimate develop from social construction. Even if the unrealistic conditions of the neoclassical model of perfect competition were met, claims on national income would not be innate and natural returns to the value of inputs, being instead a part of a complex system of socially legitimated claims. I therefore offer the following story of stock watering and the change in accounting practice for two reasons: (1) it deals with a fierce conflict over corporate





Log in to Taylor & Francis Online Restore content access > Restore content access for purchases made as guest Purchase options * Save for later PDF download + Online access • 48 hours access to article PDF & online version • Article PDF can be downloaded · Article PDF can be printed USD 53.00 🗏 Add to cart Issue Purchase • 30 days online access to complete issue · Article PDFs can be downloaded · Article PDFs can be printed USD 113.00 🗏 Add to cart X * Local ta Relate The Eco David H Journal of Publishe oach > Can En

Gary Dymski

Journal of Economic Issues Published online: 5 Jan 2016

Information for

R&D professionals

Editors

Authors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register t by email



Sigi













X

or & Francis Group
orma business