



Journal of Post Keynesian Economics >

Volume 9, 1986 - [Issue 2](#)

4 Views | 26 CrossRef citations to date | 1 Altmetric

Original Articles

# The Independence of Finance from Saving: A Flow-of-Funds Interpretation

Andrea Terzi

Pages 188-197 | Published online: 04 Nov 2015

🗨️ Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/01603477.1986.11489612>

Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📖 References

🗨️ Citations

📊 Metrics

📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

🔗 Share

ANDREA TERZI

## The independence of finance from saving: a flow-of-funds interpretation

John Maynard Keynes's proposition that consumption-and-saving decisions on the part of the public exert no direct influence on the conditions of finance faced by investors has unsuccessfully been striving to escape the confining realm of unorthodox theory. Keynes's logic in establishing this point has been defined by his critics as "a historical puzzle" (Tsiang, 1980, p. 476), the steps of his analysis as "retrogressive" with respect to Wicksellian tradition (Leijonhufvud, 1981, p. 170), and his consequent statements in terms of the so-called paradox of thrift as "bombastic" (Miller, 1985, p. 276).<sup>1</sup>

Economists who, explicitly or implicitly, adhere to the *loanable funds* theory of interest claim that a public's shift from consumption to saving with the purpose of purchasing securities generates, *ceteris paribus*, an excess supply of funds that eases conditions in the capital

The author is Professor of Economics at Franklin College, Lugano-Sorengo, Switzerland.

He wishes to thank the Editor for his valuable comments and suggestions for revision. An initial version of this work was presented at a seminar held at Rutgers University in June 1985 and was a part of the panel discussion of the round table on "Recent Interpretations of the Finance Motive" at the Fifth International Summer School of Economics in September 1985. Criticisms by participants in those circumstances are thankfully acknowledged.

The author is grateful for financial support by the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Rome.

<sup>1</sup>If a positive relationship between saving-and-lending and finance availability is maintained (which is the case of most of mainstream Keynesian macroeconomics), then the "paradox" holds only under special circumstances. Lipsey and Steiner (1981, p. 527), for example, warn that increased household savings have no impact on investment only if there is some obstacle in the intermediation process between savers and investors. This implies that, normally, aggregate saving is no longer a *residual* in the sense of Keynes (1936, p. 64).

Log in via your institution

➤ Access through your institution

> [Log in](#)

## Restore content access

> [Restore content access for purchases made as guest](#)

### Purchase options \*

[Save for later](#)

#### PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed

EUR 48.00

[Add to cart](#)

#### Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 190.00

[Add to cart](#)

\* Local tax will be added as applicable



Related Research 

### Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

### Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



### Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

### Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books