



Journal of Post Keynesian Economics >
Volume 17, 1995 - Issue 4

139 Views | 193 CrossRef citations to date | 10 Altmetric

Original Articles

Finance and Economic Breakdown: Modeling Minsky's "Financial Instability Hypothesis"

Steve Keen

Pages 607-635 | Published online: 04 Nov 2015

🗨️ Cite this article 🔗 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01603477.1995.11490053>

Sample our
Humanities
Journals
>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📖 References

🗨️ Citations

📊 Metrics

🖨️ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 845 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

[List of Partners \(vendors\)](#)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose

STEVE KEEN

Finance and economic breakdown: modeling Minsky's "financial instability hypothesis"

From as long ago as 1957, Minsky has argued that an advanced capitalist economy with developed financial institutions is fundamentally unstable, and liable to fall into a depression in the aftermath of a period of debt-financed "euphoria." His strictures were comfortably neglected during the long boom of the 1960s, and even during the oil and Third World debt shocks of the 1970s. However, this hypothesis cannot be ignored after the long period of economic instability ushered in by the crash of 1987. The late 1980s were manifestly a period of euphoria, financial innovation supported the boom, and the desire of both corporations and banks to recover from excessive debt is, to lay observers at least, a major factor in the "jobless recovery" of the early 1990s. Clearly, current economic circumstances warrant a more considered evaluation of Minsky's theories.

This paper models four basic insights of the "financial instability hypothesis" on the foundation of Goodwin's limit cycle model: the tendency of capitalists to incur debt on the basis of euphoric expectations; the importance of long-term debt; the destabilizing impact of income inequality; and the stabilizing effect of government. The introduction of these concepts into Goodwin's framework converts his stable but cyclical system into a chaotic one, with the possibility of a divergent breakdown—the simulation equivalent of a depression.

✕



➤ Access through your institution

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

➤ Log in

Restore content access

➤ Restore content access for purchases made as guest


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


USD 53.00

 Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 231.00

 Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research
- Help and information
- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

