



Research-Technology Management >

Volume 43, 2000 - [Issue 1](#)

25 Views | 3 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Original Articles

# One Point of View: Corporations Need to Publish — or Perish

G. Steven McMillan & Robert D. Hamilton III

Pages 8-10 | Published online: 27 Jan 2016

🗨️ Cite this article   🔗 <https://doi.org/10.1080/08956308.2000.11671322>

Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals

>> **Sign in here** to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share



# Corporations Need to Publish — or Perish

A number of tensions exist between the R&D departments and the business managers in most high-technology companies today. A principal one centers on finance: How much money will be spent on R&D? A second involves the balance between basic and applied research, as scientists generally seek more basic while the business managers prefer more applied. A third tension revolves around deciding on the appropriate metrics of R&D output (papers, patents, products, and revenues).

A potential source of conflict that has received somewhat less attention than these three is the general desire among research scientists to publish their findings as quickly as possible. Business managers, not surprisingly, fear lost secrets and the corresponding profits, and thus rarely encourage publication. Our purpose in this article is to enumerate the reasons why managers *should* support their scientists' publication efforts. We should make clear that we recommend this policy of openness not because we are academic purists,

but for practical profit-focused business reasons.

## A Little Sociology

From the very beginning of their doctoral studies, research scientists are conditioned to believe that publication is important, whether they choose to work in academia or in industry. Most of the theoretical background for this originates with the sociology of science literature and Robert Merton (*1*). Merton argued that a significant factor in the advance of science stems from the idea of *priority*. The first person to announce a discovery derives multiple benefits from that discovery. These benefits can be economic in nature, but there may also be social and reputational effects.

Priority of discovery has long been recognized as the means by which someone builds a reputation in the scientific community, and one's reputation for contributions to the field is a principal "currency" in science, particularly for academic scientists. This currency can increase a scientist's ability to change employers, be awarded grants, and to have access to the best equipment and personnel. Thus, priority is a fundamental facet of the reward system, and it sets up a continuous race to be first. However, to achieve priority, scientists must tell the world about their findings (i.e., publish), and it is in this area that conflict with industrial management may arise.

## Why Companies Publish

Previous research has found that many companies are reluctant to

publish the findings of their research scientists because publication might disseminate information that could be to the firms' economic disadvantage (*2*). For example, Larry Ellison, CEO of Oracle, reportedly got his initial idea about relational databases from a scientific article published by an IBM researcher, Ted Codd (*3*). We suspect that IBM's legal department would consider that publication to have been premature at the least!

However, other research has found that industrial researchers are prolific in their publication efforts, and that these efforts can generate high-quality research (*4,5*). Also, in another research effort, we studied 20 pharmaceutical companies over a 13-year period. We found that firms with Ph.D.-trained CEOs and other top managers were more likely to encourage publications by their scientists and, more importantly, that "open" firms were much more successful in their R&D efforts, as measured by both new drugs developed and future economic performance (*6*). These findings regarding the value of openness may appear counter-intuitive, yet further examination suggests that such openness is both logical and appropriate.

Even though there are a few instances, like Oracle, where publication may have been detrimental to a firm, they are actually quite rare. The primary reason is that it is very difficult to replicate an experiment or new technology based solely on a reading of published accounts. Collins and colleagues investigated the question of replication by assessing whether researchers who were attempting to

Steve McMillan is an assistant professor of business at the Abington, Pennsylvania campus of Penn State University. His research focuses on the management of technology, particularly how technological competence translates into economic performance. His Ph.D. is from Temple University. [gsm5@psu.edu](mailto:gsm5@psu.edu)

Rob Hamilton is a professor in the general and strategic management department at Temple University, in Philadelphia. With a Ph.D. from the Kellogg School at Northeastern University, his research also focuses on the management of technology as well as strategy implementation issues.

8 [rdhamilton@aol.com](mailto:rdhamilton@aol.com)

0895-6308/00\$5.00 © 2000 Industrial Research Institute, Inc.

Log in via your institution

➤ Access through your institution

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

➤ Log in

Restore content access

➤ Restore content access for purchases made as guest


## Purchase options \*

Save for later

### PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


EUR 48.00

 Add to  
cart

### Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 84.00

 Add to  
cart

\* Local tax will be added as applicable

## Related Research

Recommended articles

Cited by  
3

[Publish and perish: academic journal publishing at a crossroad >](#)

Keita Takayama et al.

Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education

Published online: 26 May 2025

[Publish or perish: ensuring our journals don't fail us >](#)

Chloe Preece et al.

Journal of Marketing Management

Published online: 14 Aug 2023

[Publish or perish? The perils of pushing research on neurosurgical trainees >](#)

Suzanne Murphy et al.



[View more](#)

## Information for

- [Authors](#)
- [R&D professionals](#)
- [Editors](#)
- [Librarians](#)
- [Societies](#)

## Opportunities

- [Reprints and e-prints](#)
- [Advertising solutions](#)
- [Accelerated publication](#)
- [Corporate access solutions](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 [Sign me up](#)



## Open access

- [Overview](#)
- [Open journals](#)
- [Open Select](#)
- [Dove Medical Press](#)
- [F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

- [Help and contact](#)
- [Newsroom](#)
- [All journals](#)
- [Books](#)

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

