







▶ Volume 22, Issue 1 ▶ A Smithean Perspective on Increasing Ret

Journal of the History of Economic Thought > Volume 22, 2000 - Issue 1

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Articles

A Smithean Perspective on Increasing Returns

James M. Buchanan & Yong J. Yoon

Pages 43-48 | Published online: 03 Aug 2010

66 Cite this article

Sample our

References

66 Citations

Metrics

➡ Reprints & Permissions

Read th

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 899 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



A SMITHEAN PERSPECTIVE ON INCREASING RETURNS

JAMES M. BUCHANAN AND YONG J. YOON

Despite its recent re-emergence to analytical importance, the phenomenon of increasing returns remains outside the central core of neoclassical economics. The history of this idea (or set of ideas) might have been quite different if Adam Smith's explanation of the origins of trade had not been replaced by that of David Ricardo. To Adam Smith, mutually beneficial exchange emerges because of specialization, which, in its turn, implies the presence of increasing returns to the size of the exchange nexus. Even in a world of equals, trade offers mutuality of gain. There is no need for participants in the economic nexus to differ one from another. In the Ricardian logic, by contrast, trade presumably emerges because productive resources differ in their capacities to create economic value, at least among separate "goods." Specialization is a "natural" feature of resource endowments—a feature that is exploited by trade. Comparative advantage ensures the mutuality of gain. But, in this explanation, there is no direct linkage between the size of the exchange network and the degree of specialization that is viable. There is no need to introduce increasing returns. Comparative advantage may be present even if there are constant returns to scale, both for the economy and for its separate productive sectors.

Partisans may quarrel over whether the Smithean or the Ricardian explanation

X



Log i

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online Restore content access > Restore content access for purchases made as guest There are no offers available at the current time. Related Research 1 Cited by Recommended articles 11 X

Information for Open access Authors Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up X or & Francis Group Copyright