



Journal of the History of Economic Thought >

Volume 22, 2000 - [Issue 1](#)

10	11	5
Views	CrossRef citations to date	Altmetric

Original Articles

A Smithean Perspective on Increasing Returns

James M. Buchanan & Yong J. Yoon

Pages 43-48 | Published online: 03 Aug 2010

“ Cite this article

Sample our
Humanities
Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share



A SMITHEAN PERSPECTIVE ON INCREASING RETURNS

BY

JAMES M. BUCHANAN AND YONG J. YOON

Despite its recent re-emergence to analytical importance, the phenomenon of increasing returns remains outside the central core of neoclassical economics. The history of this idea (or set of ideas) might have been quite different if Adam Smith's explanation of the origins of trade had not been replaced by that of David Ricardo. To Adam Smith, mutually beneficial exchange emerges because of specialization, which, in its turn, implies the presence of increasing returns to the size of the exchange nexus. Even in a world of equals, trade offers mutuality of gain. There is no need for participants in the economic nexus to differ one from another. In the Ricardian logic, by contrast, trade presumably emerges because productive resources differ in their capacities to create economic value, at least among separate "goods." Specialization is a "natural" feature of resource endowments—a feature that is exploited by trade. Comparative advantage ensures the mutuality of gain. But, in this explanation, there is no direct linkage between the size of the exchange network and the degree of specialization that is viable. There is no need to introduce increasing returns. Comparative advantage may be present even if there are constant returns to scale, both for the economy and for its separate productive sectors.

Partisans may quarrel over whether the Smithean or the Ricardian explanation best satisfies Occam's criterion for scientific reductionism. But there is no disputing the historical fact that neoclassical theory, as it developed in the late nineteenth century, was basically Ricardian. The marginal productivity theory of distribution, and especially in its elevation of a mathematical theorem (Euler's) to explanatory purpose, with the side advantage of offering a counter to Marxian charges of exploitation, locates its roots in Ricardo's theory of rent. This latter theory, in turn, is based on the presumed fixity of one resource, land, which generates decreasing returns as labor is successively applied. And, almost as if by legerdemain, the diminishing returns model is converted into a constant returns logic by allowing for variability along all input dimensions. There is simply no analytical space for increasing returns in the orthodox neoclassical construction which, reduced to its essentials, retains the Ricardian stylization (two factors: labor, land; one product: wheat or wool). Adam Smith's elementary notion that the division of labor must depend on the extent of the market, a

Center for Study of Public Choice, Buchanan House MS 1E6, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA.

ISSN 1042-7716 Print; ISSN 1469-9656 Online/00/010043-06 © 2000 The History of Economics Society

Log in via your institution

➤ Access through your institution

> [Log in](#)

Restore content access

> [Restore content access for purchases made as guest](#)

There are no offers available at the current time.

Related Research

Recommended articles

Cited by
11

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG