

10 Views | 11 CrossRef citations to date | 7 Altmetric

Original Articles

A Smithean Perspective on Increasing Returns

James M. Buchanan & Yong J. Yoon

Pages 43-48 | Published online: 03 Aug 2010

“ Cite this article

Sample our
Humanities
Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 References

“ Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 887 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage .Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

Identify you and others using unique identifiers across

 I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose





A SMITHEAN PERSPECTIVE ON INCREASING RETURNS

BY

JAMES M. BUCHANAN AND YONG J. YOON

Despite its recent re-emergence to analytical importance, the phenomenon of increasing returns remains outside the central core of neoclassical economics. The history of this idea (or set of ideas) might have been quite different if Adam Smith's explanation of the origins of trade had not been replaced by that of David Ricardo. To Adam Smith, mutually beneficial exchange emerges because of specialization, which, in its turn, implies the presence of increasing returns to the size of the exchange nexus. Even in a world of equals, trade offers mutuality of gain. There is no need for participants in the economic nexus to differ one from another. In the Ricardian logic, by contrast, trade presumably emerges because productive resources differ in their capacities to create economic value, at least among separate "goods." Specialization is a "natural" feature of resource endowments—a feature that is exploited by trade. Comparative advantage ensures the mutuality of gain. But, in this explanation, there is no direct linkage between the size of the exchange network and the degree of specialization that is viable. There is no need to introduce increasing returns. Comparative advantage may be present even if there are constant returns to scale, both for the economy and for its separate productive sectors.

Partisans may quarrel over whether the Smithean or the Ricardian explanation best satisfies Occam's criterion for scientific reductionism. But there is no disputing the historical fact that neoclassical theory, as it developed in the late



Log in

> Account

> [Log in](#)

Restore content access

> [Restore content access for purchases made as guest](#)

There are no offers available at the current time.

Related Research

Recommended articles

Cited by
11



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business

