

Journal of Behavioral Finance >

Volume 4, 2003 - Issue 2

2,705

Views

8

CrossRef citations to date

7

Altmetric

Original Articles

The Contributions of Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky

Hersh Shefrin & Meir Statman

Pages 54-58 | Published online: 07 Jun 2010

“ Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1207/S15427579JPFM0402_01

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

“ Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 843 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose

EDITORIAL COMMENTARY

The Contributions of Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky

The Royal Swedish Academy of Science awarded the 2002 Nobel Prize in Economics to Daniel Kahneman “for having integrated insights from psychological research into economic science, especially concerning human judgment and decision-making under uncertainty.” Kahneman shares the prize with Vernon Smith.¹ Kahneman did much of his Nobel Prize-winning work with Amos Tversky, a fellow psychologist, starting when both were at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Tversky was not eligible for the Nobel Prize since its rules prohibit posthumous awards. He was 59 when he died in 1996.

The concepts of risk and return lie at the heart of finance; Kahneman and Tversky’s work provides fundamental insights into the psychology associated with both. Their work is composed of two strands, prospect theory, and heuristics and biases. Prospect theory describes how people make decisions when facing risky (or risk-free) alternatives, while heuristics and biases describe, among other things, how people assess risk. Prospect theory provides the major psychological underpinnings for the behavioral approaches to portfolio selection, while heuristics and biases provide the major psychological underpinnings for the behavioral approach to asset pricing. We describe each strand and its applications to finance.

Prospect Theory and Portfolio Construction

Prospect theory, developed by Kahneman and Tversky [1979] and published in *Econometrica*, was singled out for praise by the Royal Swedish Academy of Science. Prospect theory is an alternative to mean-variance theory, the theory that underlies modern portfolio theory [Markowitz, 1959]. Prospect theory, like its mean-variance theory counterpart, focuses on the way people

trast, people in prospect theory choose based on the effect of outcomes on *changes* in their wealth, relative to their reference point.

Second, people in mean-variance theory are risk averse in all of their choices. In contrast, people in prospect theory are generally risk averse when all changes in wealth are perceived as gains, but they are risk seeking when all changes in wealth are perceived as losses. Moreover, people in prospect theory perceive losses more severely than they perceive gains of equal amounts. Kahneman and Tversky use the term “loss aversion” to describe this asymmetry between the perception of gains and losses.

Third, people in mean-variance theory treat risk objectively, by its probabilities. In contrast, people in prospect theory overweight small probabilities. Overweighting may lead people to be risk-seeking in the domain of gains (ex. purchasing lottery tickets), and it may lead people to be risk-averse in the domain of losses (ex. purchasing insurance against outcomes with small probabilities).

Fourth, mean-variance theory implicitly assumes that the framing of alternatives does not affect choice. In contrast, prospect theory emphasizes that frames affect choices.

Kahneman and Tversky have influenced financial economists through many experiments. Consider an experiment that illuminates the features of prospect theory. Imagine that you face a concurrent choice with two pairs (A vs. B and C vs. D), where:

A = a sure gain of \$24,000

B = a 25% chance to gain \$100,000 and a 75% chance to gain nothing.

C = a sure loss of \$75,000

D = 75% chance to lose \$100,000 and 25% chance to lose nothing.



Log in

➤ Account

Log in

Restore content access

> Restore content access for purchases made as guest


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


USD 53.00

 Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 380.00

 Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



✕