

48 Views | 17 CrossRef citations to date | 3 Altmetric

Research Articles

Industry Relative Price-Earnings Ratios as Indicators of Investment Returns

David A. Goodman & John W. Peavy III

Pages 60-66 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v39.n4.60>

CFA Institute members: [sign in to access the Financial Analysts Journal.](#)

Sample our
Tourism, Hospitality and
Events Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Citations

are

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 874 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

...

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



by David A. Goodman and John W. Peavy, III

Industry Relative Price-Earnings Ratios as Indicators of Investment Returns

Do stocks with low price-earnings ratios outperform stocks with high price-earnings ratios? Some tests indicate that low P/E stocks do offer excess returns. Critics of these tests, however, contend that the higher observed returns are attributable, not to the P/E ratios, but to the small firm size and infrequent trading that tend to characterize low P/E stocks.

The authors control for the small firm and infrequent trading effects by including in their tests only frequently traded stocks of companies of a certain size. In addition, they control for another possible bias—the industry effect. Because firms in the same industry tend to cluster in the same relative P/E ranking, detected return differences between P/E groups may be attributable to industry performance, rather than P/E level. This bias is eliminated by using price-earnings relatives (PERs)—the index of the P/E ratio of a stock relative to its industry.

The results indicate that PER is a significant factor related to excess returns. Low PER portfolios tend to outperform high PER portfolios, as well as the sample mean. Furthermore, as PER increases, returns decline consistently.

THE RATIO of the market price of a stock to its annual earnings per share—the price-earnings (P/E) ratio—has long been one of the most observed indicators of stock value. Applying the appropriate P/E ratio to a company's four most recently reported quarterly earnings per share yields a quick estimate of the stock's fair market price. The P/E ratio presumably represents investors' collective opinion regarding a firm's future prospects and its riskiness. In this sense, the more favorable a firm's outlook, *ceteris paribus*, the higher the P/E ratio, and the higher the price investors are willing to pay. As early as 1949, however, Benjamin Graham contended that investors often overreact to corporate prospects, overpricing the most favorably viewed companies and underpricing the least attractive companies.¹ As a result, favorably viewed stocks tend to be assigned P/Es that are too generous,

1. Footnotes appear at end of article.

whereas the lackluster stocks receive meager multiples.

This article analyzes a special kind of P/E—one that is normalized to reflect industry considerations—and its ability to forecast security performance. We hypothesize that this industry-normalized P/E ratio, which we call the price-earnings relative (PER), is an indicator of future security returns. If Graham's contention is valid, then the lowest P/Es and PERs are presumably too depressed and should eventually adjust upwards to a more normal level, leading to higher than normal returns. On the other hand, the highest P/Es and PERs should at some time deflate to lower and more realistic levels, depressing returns on these stocks.

David Goodman is Associate Professor of Management Science and Computers and John Peavy, III is Assistant Professor of Finance at the Edwin L. Cox School of Business at Southern Methodist University.



Log i



Log i

Log

Resto

Res


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


USD 56.00

 Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 201.00

 Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business

