







Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Financial Analysts Journal ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 40, Issue ▶ Modifying the Black-Scholes Option Prici

Financial Analysts Journal > Volume 40, 1984 - Issue 6

46 5 0

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Research Articles

Modifying the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model for Alternative Underlying Instruments

James F. Meisner & John W. Labuszewski

Pages 23-30 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

66 Cite this article https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v40.n6.23

CFA Institute members: sign in to access the Financial Analysts Journal.

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

66 Citatio

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 878 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage .Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



by James F. Meisner and John W. Labuszewski

Modifying the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model for Alternative Underlying Instruments

The Black-Scholes option pricing model may be used to evaluate options on various types of underlying instruments, but significant modifications are necessary. In addition to financing costs, for example, the formula for commodity options must incorporate storage costs over the option's life, whereas the formula for securities must consider expected dividends or interest income. Conventional options on futures contracts, on the other hand, entail no holding costs. And the formula for "futures-style" options on futures must recognize that neither holding costs nor short-term rates are a factor in pricing.

These adjustments have implications for the 'put-call parity' relationship, which provides information about the relative time values associated with puts and calls. For securities, put time value will exceed call time value if expected dividends or interest income exceed financing costs. In the case of conventional commodity options, call time value always exceeds put time value. The 'time value of a conventional put (call) on a futures contract will exceed call (put) time value if the call (put) options is in-the-money. Put and call time values are always equal for futures-style options on futures.

The authors provide a computer program, written in BASIC, for calculating 'fair market' put and call option premiums for options on securities, commodities and futures.

ODELS FOR THE PRICING of options have proliferated as new types of option contracts have been introduced. The common ancestor of all these models is the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. The Black-Scholes model, although based on assumptions that may be deemed overly restrictive for practical applications, is nonetheless the most widely known and referenced method for estimating "fair market" option premiums.

1. Footnotes appear at end of article

James Meisner is Option Research Analyst at Prudential Bache Securities, Inc. John Labuszewski is Senior Marketing Manager at the Chicago Board of Trade.

This article demonstrates how the Black-Scholes option pricing formula is adapted for the valuation of four classes of options—(1) conventional (prepaid premium) options on securities (such as stocks and bonds), (2) conventional options on storable commodities (such as metals and grains), (3) conventional options on futures contracts, and (4) "futures-style" (margined premium) options on futures contracts. The modifications necessary to adapt the classic formula illustrate some of the basic differences between the instruments that may underlie an option contract. Put-call parity and option price sensitivity to changes in underlying parameters are considered within the framework of this

FINANCIAL ANALYSTS JOURNAL / NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 1984 [] 23

Log



> Log

Resto

> Res



Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed

EUR 48.00

📜 Add to

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- · Article PDFs can be downloaded
- · Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 175.00

📜 Add to

* Local tax will be added as applicable











Information for Open access Authors Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up X or & Francis Group Copyright Registered 5 Howick Pl