

Financial Analysts Journal >
Volume 41, 1985 - Issue 2

38 Views | 15 CrossRef citations to date | 15 Altmetric

Research Articles

Common Stock Returns and Presidential Elections

Roger D. Huang

Pages 58-61 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v41.n2.58>

 CFA Institute [member access](#) to the Financial Analysts Journal.

Sample our
Tourism, Hospitality and
Events Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Citations  Metrics  Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All 

Essential Only

Settings

Common Stock Returns and Presidential Elections

THAT EQUITY MARKETS are efficient in at least the semistrong sense is perhaps the most widely accepted hypothesis among financial economists. But it has always been a point of contention between academics and practitioners. The evidence from the 1960s and early 1970s overwhelmingly supported efficiency. More recently, however, an impressive body of "anomalous" data has accumulated.¹

One of the more surprising developments has been the discovery of a number of patterns in security returns.² These patterns are remarkably consistent, statistically significant, and suggest at least the possibility of profitable trading rules. Although the profitability of such trading rules has yet to be documented, we seem to be on the verge of disproving even the weak form of the Efficient Market Hypothesis. This article presents some results on the pattern of common stock returns over the four-year election cycle and over different administrations.

A Four-Year Cycle

Do common stock returns exhibit a four-year cycle? Allvine and O'Neill have presented strong evidence in support of such a phenomenon over the postwar period.³ Table I summarizes our results. The top portion of Table I shows for six different (though often overlapping) periods the average annual rates of return on common stocks for each year of the four-year election cycle.⁴ The results of formal statistical tests are somewhat mixed. Nonetheless, the pattern is hard to ignore.

The bottom portion of Table II shows combined returns for Years 1 and 2 and Years 3 and 4 of the cycle. The existence of an election cycle is much more apparent in every one of the six periods and is fairly strongly corroborated by standard statistical tests. The difference be-

1. Footnotes appear at end of article.

Roger Huang is Assistant Professor of Finance at the Graduate School of Business Administration of the University of Florida, Gainesville.

tween the two groups is particularly pronounced in the most recent 20-year period, with the average annual difference exceeding 24 percent. In short, our results also support the notion of political control of the economy. Indeed, such control seems to have grown stronger, and perhaps more deliberate, since 1960.⁴

Republican vs. Democratic Administrations

One of the persistent myths of the stock market is that the market "prefers Republicans."⁵ The Republican party is traditionally viewed as the party of business. Historically, however, higher average returns have been obtained during Democratic administrations than during Republican administrations. The top part of Table II shows evidence from three overlapping periods.

The middle and bottom portions of Table II address the issue of whether the presidential election cycle is affected when the sample data are differentiated along party lines. The results show that the basic pattern of higher returns in Years 3 and 4 is present for both political parties in all the periods considered. Furthermore, the cycle is more pronounced in more recent periods, under both Republican and Democratic administrations.

Are the returns obtained under each type of administration significantly different from one another? The returns are not significantly different for four of the six cases. The exceptions are the mean returns for Years 1 and 2 for the period 1961-80 and the mean returns for Years 3 and 4 for the period 1929-80. In both these cases, Democratic administrations had higher mean returns.

Cycle-Based Strategies

We used the above results to test the simple switching strategies listed in Table III. In general, switching strategies that kept the investor out of stocks and in Treasury bills during the first and second years of Republican administra-

Log in via your institution

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed

USD 53.00

Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 162.00

Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 15



About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All 

Essential Only

Settings

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings