Home ► All Journals ► Financial Analysts Journal ► List of Issues ► Volume 42, Issue 4

Financial Analysts Journal > Volume 42, 1986 - Issue 4

74 34

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

► Financial Implications of South African ....

Research Articles

## Financial Implications of South African Divestment

Blake R. Grossman & William F. Sharpe

Pages 15-29 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

**66** Cite this article https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v42.n4.15

CFA Institute member access to the Financial Analysts Journal.

Sample our Tourism, Hospitality and

**66** Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

#### About Cookies On This Site



We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Onl

Settings

by Blake R. Grossman and William F. Sharpe

# Financial Implications of South African Divestment

The minimum financial costs associated with divesting a portfolio of stocks of companies doing business in South Africa include both direct and indirect costs of buying and selling securities plus effects on risk and expected return. The magnitude of these costs depends materially on the divestment strategy chosen. Divesting only the stocks of companies not complying with the Sullivan Principles results in the exclusion of a relatively small portion of an investment universe and has little effect on portfolio characteristics and returns. A complete divestment policy—selling all South Africa-related stocks—has more meaningful consequences.

The divested South Africa-free (SAF) universe consists of companies whose market capitalizations are significantly smaller than those of the total universe. The SAF universe is also relatively underweighted in technological capital goods and consumer growth stocks and overweighted in finance and utility stocks.

Analysis of a representative divestment strategy based on buying and holding a value-weighted portfolio of all the SAF stocks in the NYSE suggests that initial transaction costs for a \$1 billion portfolio can be as low as 0.41 per cent of the overall portfolio value (assuming trades are not liquidity or information-motivated). Reinvestment of dividends and investment of additional funds after divestment should not substantially exceed those associated with an undivested portfolio.

Historical returns since 1959 indicate, further, that the SAF portfolio, diluted with Treasury bills to bring its risk in line with the NYSE, would have outperformed the NYSE by 0.187 per cent annually. Analysis of the factors contributing to the SAF portfolio's returns indicates that the exclusion of South Africa-related stocks hurt portfolio performance, on average, while the small stock bias of the SAF strategy greatly increased portfolio return.

N REACTION TO the apartheid policies of the South African government, a number of public and private retirement funds have

Blake Grossman is a portfolio manager at Wells Fargo Investment Advisors. William Sharpe is Timken Professor of Finance, Stanford University, and a consultant to Wells Fargo Investment Advisors. The authors thank Leslie Durschinger of Wells Fargo for

The authors thank Leslie Durschinger of Wells Fargo for providing much of the transaction costs analysis. Support from the Stanford Program in Finance is gratefully acknowledged.

decided to divest their portfolios of stocks of companies that do business in that country. Many more are actively considering such a policy. Such a choice cannot, of course, be made lightly. Both benefits and costs must be carefully weighed.

Evaluation of the benefits involves complex political and social aspects. Most investors share with the U.S. government the goals of abolition of apartheid and improvement of the status of blacks in South Africa; there is disagreement,

FINANCIAL ANALYSTS JOURNAL / JULY-AUGUST 1986 [] 15



## Log in via your institution

Loading institutional login options...



Lo to Taylor & Francis Online

Restore content access

Restore content access for purchases made as guest

## Purchase options \*

#### Save for later

#### PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed

USD 53.00



#### Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- · Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 162.00



\* Local tax will be added as applicable

### Related Research 1

Nesearch 4

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 74



Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

#### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email















Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

