

40 Views | 89 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Research Articles

Initial Public Offerings: Daily Returns, Offering Types and the Price Effect

Andrew J. Chalk & John W. Peavy III

Pages 65-69 | Published online: 04 Apr 2019

🗨️ Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v43.n5.65>

🌿 CFA Institute members: [sign in to access the Financial Analysts Journal.](#)

📖 Sample our Mathematics & Statistics journals, sign in here to start your FREE access for 14 days

🗨️ Citations | 📊 Metrics | 📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 855 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

...

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



Initial Public Offerings: Daily Returns, Offering Types and the Price Effect

Initial public offerings (IPOs) generate abnormally high returns immediately following their issuance. An examination of daily returns for 649 firms that went public between 1975 and 1982 reveals how these returns are distributed across time (from the day of issuance through the 190 aftermarket trading days); across initial offering price categories; and across types of offerings.

The sample's mean return on the initial day was a statistically significant 21.65 per cent; the first day of aftermarket trading also experienced a significant positive abnormal return. The entire aftermarket period had a cumulative return of 17.99 per cent, suggesting that significant abnormal returns continued beyond the initial offering day.

While the initial day's abnormal return was positive across all price groups, it was much greater for the IPOs originally priced at \$1.00 or less than for any other group. The lowest priced IPOs also accounted for most of the sample's abnormal returns over the aftermarket trading period.

The initial and aftermarket performances of firms using firm commitment offerings closely approximated the performances of the entire sample (as 88.4 per cent of the IPOs sampled used this form). The best efforts offerings, however, outperformed the firm commitment offerings both on the offering day and over the aftermarket trading period. These higher returns are attributable, again, to the returns on stocks priced at \$1.00 or less; over 70 per cent of the best efforts offerings fell into this price category.

THAT INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS (IPOs) generate abnormally high returns during the period immediately following their issuance has been well documented by previous studies.¹ As these studies observed only cumulative one-week or one-month initial returns, however, we do not know if abnormal returns occur entirely on the first trading day or if they are spread over several trading days. Nor

has prior research addressed the question of why IPOs alternate between "hot" and "cold" periods. That is, during hot markets, such as those of 1968-69, 1971-72 and 1980-81, both the number of IPOs and the initial returns increase substantially; activity and returns decline substantially during cold markets.

This article examines daily returns for 649 firms that went public between 1975 and 1982.² We observe daily returns from the initial offering day through 190 days of aftermarket trading to determine whether abnormal IPO returns occur immediately after issuance or continue beyond the offering day. We also categorize IPOs by original issue price to see if high abnormal returns are largely concentrated in a particular price group. Many small companies take advantage of the hot-issue markets to go

1. Footnotes appear at end of article.

Andrew Chalk is Assistant Professor of Finance and John Peavy, III is Associate Professor of Finance at the Edwin L. Cox School of Business of Southern Methodist University.

The authors thank the Center for the Study of Financial Institutions and Markets at Southern Methodist University for financial assistance.



Log i



Log i

Log

Resto

Res


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


USD 53.00

 Add to cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 162.00

 Add to cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business

