

Financial Analysts Journal >  
Volume 43, 1987 - Issue 5

37 Views | 89 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Research Articles

# Initial Public Offerings: Daily Returns, Offering Types and the Price Effect

Andrew J. Chalk &amp; John W. Peavy III

Pages 65-69 | Published online: 04 Apr 2019

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v43.n5.65>CFA Institute [member access](#) to the Financial Analysts Journal.

Sample our  
Tourism, Hospitality and  
Events Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Citations

Metrics

Reprints &amp; Permissions

Read this article

## About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

# Initial Public Offerings: Daily Returns, Offering Types and the Price Effect

Initial public offerings (IPOs) generate abnormally high returns immediately following their issuance. An examination of daily returns for 649 firms that went public between 1975 and 1982 reveals how these returns are distributed across time (from the day of issuance through the 190 aftermarket trading days); across initial offering price categories; and across types of offerings.

The sample's mean return on the initial day was a statistically significant 21.65 per cent; the first day of aftermarket trading also experienced a significant positive abnormal return. The entire aftermarket period had a cumulative return of 17.99 per cent, suggesting that significant abnormal returns continued beyond the initial offering day.

While the initial day's abnormal return was positive across all price groups, it was much greater for the IPOs originally priced at \$1.00 or less than for any other group. The lowest priced IPOs also accounted for most of the sample's abnormal returns over the aftermarket trading period.

The initial and aftermarket performances of firms using firm commitment offerings closely approximated the performances of the entire sample (as 88.4 per cent of the IPOs sampled used this form). The best efforts offerings, however, outperformed the firm commitment offerings both on the offering day and over the aftermarket trading period. These higher returns are attributable, again, to the returns on stocks priced at \$1.00 or less; over 70 per cent of the best efforts offerings fell into this price category.

**T**HAT INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS (IPOs) generate abnormally high returns during the period immediately following their issuance has been well documented by previous studies.<sup>1</sup> As these studies observed only cumulative one-week or one-month initial returns, however, we do not know if abnormal returns occur entirely on the first trading day or if they are spread over several trading days. Nor

has prior research addressed the question of why IPOs alternate between "hot" and "cold" periods. That is, during hot markets, such as those of 1968-69, 1971-72 and 1980-81, both the number of IPOs and the initial returns increase substantially; activity and returns decline substantially during cold markets.

This article examines daily returns for 649 firms that went public between 1975 and 1982.<sup>2</sup> We observe daily returns from the initial offering day through 190 days of aftermarket trading to determine whether abnormal IPO returns occur immediately after issuance or continue beyond the offering day. We also categorize IPOs by original issue price to see if high abnormal returns are largely concentrated in a particular price group. Many small companies take advantage of the hot-issue markets to go

1. Footnotes appear at end of article.

Andrew Chalk is Assistant Professor of Finance and John Peavy, III is Associate Professor of Finance at the Edwin L. Cox School of Business of Southern Methodist University.

The authors thank the Center for the Study of Financial Institutions and Markets at Southern Methodist University for financial assistance.

Log in via your institution

Loading institutional login options...



Log in to Taylor & Francis Online



Log in

Restore content access

Restore content access for purchases made as guest

## Purchase options \*

Save for later

### PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


USD 53.00

 Add to cart

### Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

USD 162.00

 Add to cart

\* Local tax will be added as applicable

## Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
89



## Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

## Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

## Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research
- Help and information
- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited   Privacy policy   Cookies   Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

