

92 Views | 255 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Research Articles

Asset Allocation in a Downside-Risk Framework

W.V. Harlow

Pages 28-40 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

🗨️ Cite this article 🔗 <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v47.n5.28>

🌿 CFA Institute members: [sign in to access the Financial Analysts Journal.](#)

📄 Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days

🗨️ Citations | 📊 Metrics | 🖨️ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

🔗 Share

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 880 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



by W. V. Harlow

Asset Allocation in a Downside-Risk Framework

A downside-risk approach to investment decisions uses intuitive measures of risk that focus on return dispersions below a specified target or benchmark return. Downside-risk measures are attractive not only because they are consistent with investors' perception of risk, but also because the theoretical assumptions required to justify their use are very simple. Equally important, a number of well known risk measures, including the traditional variance (standard deviation) measure, are special cases of the downside-risk approach. Asset allocation in a downside-risk framework therefore determines an investment opportunity set for downside-averse investors that is at least as efficient as that derived using conventional techniques.

A set of international asset allocation examples demonstrates the benefits of the downside-risk framework. Specifically, optimizations based on downside measures produce portfolio strategies with realized returns that have less downside risk exposure than those determined using variance. Thus investors averse to below-target return dispersions achieve a more attractive risk-return tradeoff within this framework. Moreover, in the asset allocation examples considered, the downside-risk approach produces a significantly higher average bond allocation relative to stocks. This difference in asset composition increases downside protection while offering the same or a greater level of expected return.

CENTRAL TO MODERN portfolio theory is the premise that investment decisions are made to achieve an optimal risk/return tradeoff from the available opportunities. In order to meet this objective, the portfolio manager must first evaluate capital market information and quantify *ex ante* measures of both risk and expected return for the appropriate set of assets. The next task is to isolate those combinations of assets that are the most "efficient," in the sense of providing the lowest level of risk for a desired level of expected return, and then to select one combination that is consistent with the risk tolerance of the investor.

While the principle of identifying portfolios with the required risk and return characteristics is certainly clear, the appropriate definition of risk is more ambiguous. One manager might view risk as the probability of shortfall below some benchmark level of return, for example, while another may be more sensitive to the

overall magnitude of a loss, should one occur. These seemingly disparate notions of risk, as well as other possible definitions, serve as a reminder that simple return variance (or standard deviation)—the traditional measure of risk—is sometimes deficient for dealing with the rich set of portfolio objectives and constraints that investment managers often formulate.

This article discusses and demonstrates a general approach to asset allocation based on definitions of risk that are attractive alternatives to variance.¹ These alternatives all capture the appealing notion of "downside risk" and provide a more robust approach to portfolio optimization. Using an **asymmetric measure of risk** that focuses on the returns below a specified target or benchmark return level, this framework includes as special cases such well known measures as the probability of loss, expected loss

1. Footnotes appear at end of article.



Log i

Log i

Log i

Log i

Resto

Res




Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


EUR 48.00

 Add to
cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 175.00

 Add to
cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business

