

Financial Analysts Journal >

Volume 47, 1991 - [Issue 5](#)

123 Views | 265 CrossRef citations to date | 3 Altmetric

Research Articles

Asset Allocation in a Downside-Risk Framework

W.V. Harlow

Pages 28-40 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

“ Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v47.n5.28>

 CFA Institute members: [sign in to access the Financial Analysts Journal](#).

Sample our
Mathematics & Statistics
Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



“ Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

 Share

Asset Allocation in a Downside-Risk Framework

A downside-risk approach to investment decisions uses intuitive measures of risk that focus on return dispersions below a specified target or benchmark return. Downside-risk measures are attractive not only because they are consistent with investors' perception of risk, but also because the theoretical assumptions required to justify their use are very simple. Equally important, a number of well known risk measures, including the traditional variance (standard deviation) measure, are special cases of the downside-risk approach. Asset allocation in a downside-risk framework therefore determines an investment opportunity set for downside-averse investors that is at least as efficient as that derived using conventional techniques.

A set of international asset allocation examples demonstrates the benefits of the downside-risk framework. Specifically, optimizations based on downside measures produce portfolio strategies with realized returns that have less downside risk exposure than those determined using variance. Thus investors averse to below-target return dispersions achieve a more attractive risk-return tradeoff within this framework. Moreover, in the asset allocation examples considered, the downside-risk approach produces a significantly higher average bond allocation relative to stocks. This difference in asset composition increases downside protection while offering the same or a greater level of expected return.

CENTRAL TO MODERN portfolio theory is the premise that investment decisions are made to achieve an optimal risk/return tradeoff from the available opportunities. In order to meet this objective, the portfolio manager must first evaluate capital market information and quantify *ex ante* measures of both risk and expected return for the appropriate set of assets. The next task is to isolate those combinations of assets that are the most "efficient," in the sense of providing the lowest level of risk for a desired level of expected return, and then to select one combination that is consistent with the risk tolerance of the investor.

While the principle of identifying portfolios with the required risk and return characteristics is certainly clear, the appropriate definition of risk is more ambiguous. One manager might view risk as the probability of shortfall below some benchmark level of return, for example, while another may be more sensitive to the

overall magnitude of a loss, should one occur. These seemingly disparate notions of risk, as well as other possible definitions, serve as a reminder that simple return variance (or standard deviation)—the traditional measure of risk—is sometimes deficient for dealing with the rich set of portfolio objectives and constraints that investment managers often formulate.

This article discusses and demonstrates a general approach to asset allocation based on definitions of risk that are attractive alternatives to variance.¹ These alternatives all capture the appealing notion of "downside risk" and provide a more robust approach to portfolio optimization. Using an **asymmetric measure of risk** that focuses on the returns below a specified target or benchmark return level, this framework includes as special cases such well known measures as the probability of loss, expected loss

1. Footnotes appear at end of article.

Log in via your institution

➤ [Access through your institution](#)

Log in to Taylor & Francis Online

➤ [Log in](#)

Restore content access

➤ [Restore content access for purchases made as guest](#)


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


EUR 48.00

 Add to
cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 136.00

 Add to
cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
265

[Asset Allocation in a Downside Risk Framework >](#)

Tien Foo Sing et al.

Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management

Published online: 18 Jun 2020

[ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies >](#)

Gunnar Friede et al.

Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment

Published online: 15 Dec 2015

[Optimal portfolios with downside risk >](#)

Fima Klebaner et al.



[View more](#)

Information for

[Authors](#)
[R&D professionals](#)
[Editors](#)
[Librarians](#)
[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)
[Advertising solutions](#)
[Accelerated publication](#)
[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)
[Open journals](#)
[Open Select](#)
[Dove Medical Press](#)
[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)
[Newsroom](#)
[All journals](#)
[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



[Sign me up](#)



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by **informa**•••