

108 | 11

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

0

Research Articles

The Performance of Tactical Asset Allocation

Eric J. Weigel

Pages 63-70 | Published online: 31 Dec 2018

🗨️ Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v47.n5.63>

🌿 CFA Institute members: [sign in to access the Financial Analysts Journal.](#)

Sample our Law journals, sign in here to start your FREE access for 14 days

🗨️ Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 880 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



by Eric J. Weigel

The Performance of Tactical Asset Allocation

Tactical asset allocation (TAA) is the practice of altering asset class exposures in accordance with model-based risk-reward expectations. An analysis of 17 U.S. managers who use TAA to rebalance between large-cap stocks, long-term bonds and cash equivalents reveals that the vast majority provided positive timing ability at a statistically significant level. This holds for both managers' simulated and actual market returns.

The managers' market-timing skills, however, are inversely related to other investment skills. Managers good at timing broad market aggregates appear to be deficient in other investment activities. Transaction costs may partly explain this phenomenon, but the sheer magnitude of the return-diminishing non-market-timing term is too large to be entirely attributable to transaction costs.

Furthermore, the market-timing performances of the managers varied considerably over time. This tendency will probably persist in the future, as managers update their forecasting systems and capital market conditions change.

THE DYNAMIC adjustment of a portfolio's investment weights across broad asset classes has become a hot topic since the October 1987 market crash. Of course, investment managers have been altering exposures to different asset classes according to "gut" feeling or subjective risk/reward assessments for a long time. What is new now is the thorough application of the principles of modern portfolio theory and econometrics to examine quantitatively the expected risk/reward tradeoffs of major asset classes.

A driving force behind the rush to apply quantitative techniques to **dynamic asset allocation** strategies has been recent statistical evidence on the predictability of stock and bond market returns. While most of the analyses have focused on forecastability over long horizons, there is evidence that stock and bond market returns are also predictable (but at more modest levels) over shorter intervals.¹

From a practical perspective, the result has been the "growth" of money management firms professing to be able to time the movements of

broad market aggregates. Their market-timing activities have been labeled "**tactical asset allocation**" (TAA). We estimate that there are currently over \$42 billion under management in TAA strategies.

Our study attempts to determine quantitatively the market-timing ability of a sample of 17 U.S. TAA managers. All are major firms managing substantial amounts of money. They all switch funds between large-company stocks, long-term bonds and cash equivalents—a so-called three-way market-timing strategy.

Because of the relatively short history of the strategy, hence the limited number of **market observations**, we formed two samples. One combined manager-simulated with actual market returns. The other used only actual market performance.

The measurement methodology we use is taken from Merton and Henriksson and has its origins in option pricing theory.² The **performance attribution** methodology decomposes manager returns into three sources—returns to a static asset class mix, returns to market timing and returns to non-market-timing strategies such as security selection, stock index arbitrage

1. Footnotes appear at end of article.



Log i



Log i

Log

Resto

Res


Purchase options *

Save for later

PDF download + Online access

- 48 hours access to article PDF & online version
- Article PDF can be downloaded
- Article PDF can be printed


EUR 48.00

 Add to
cart

Issue Purchase

- 30 days online access to complete issue
- Article PDFs can be downloaded
- Article PDFs can be printed

EUR 175.00

 Add to
cart

* Local tax will be added as applicable

Related Research



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business

