



Enter words / phrases / DOI / ISBN / authors / keywords / etc. This Journal

Search Advanced

Home > Canadian Public Policy > List of Issues > Vol. 46, No. S3 > DOI: 10.3138/cpp.2020-073



< Volume 46 Issue S3, October 2020, pp. S300-S306 >

Articles

COVID-19 Blunts Alberta Challenge to Federal-Provincial Income Tax

Adil Sayeed ⓘ

<https://doi.org/10.3138/cpp.2020-073>

- Abstract
- Full Text**
- References
- PDF
- EPUB

Stephen Harper proposed an Alberta personal income tax (PIT) modelled on Quebec's separate PIT in 2001. The Alberta government rejected this proposal because of cost concerns. In 2019, Premier Kenney twinned the Alberta PIT plan with Quebec's request for provinces to collect federal PIT and asked an

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

En 2007, Stephen Harper proposait un impôt sur le revenu des particuliers (IRP) albertain, inspiré de l'IRP distinct du Québec. Des inquiétudes relatives aux coûts ont incité le gouvernement albertain à rejeter cette proposition. En 2019, le premier ministre Kenney jumelait le projet d'IRP albertain à la demande du Québec que soit conféré aux provinces le droit de percevoir l'IRP fédéral et demandait à un groupe d'experts de se produire un rapport sur la question. En juin 2020, l'Alberta acceptait la recommandation de ce groupe (le *Fair Deal Panel*) de soutenir le Québec dans sa démarche, tout en admettant que l'instauration d'un IRP albertain distinct réclamait une « analyse beaucoup plus approfondie ». L'incidence fiscale de la crise de la COVID-19 peut expliquer l'attitude relativement prudente de l'Alberta. L'instauration d'un IRP albertain pourrait maintenant être envisageable seulement si le gouvernement fédéral prenait à son compte les frais de gestion provinciaux. La crise a également mis en lumière la valeur de l'avantage que présente pour les provinces la perception de l'IRP fédéral sur le plan des flux de trésorerie, ce qui a derechef diminué l'attrait d'un IRP albertain.

Keywords: [administration](#), [compliance](#), [federalism](#), [revenue](#), [taxation](#)

Mots clés : [administration](#), [conformité fiscale](#), [fédéralisme](#), [impôts](#), [recettes publiques](#)

Section: ▲ ▼

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and resulting economic downturn have sidelined other issues as governments focus on the immediate crisis. Whether Alberta should collect its own personal income tax (PIT) is one example of a policy debate relegated to the back burner by COVID-19.

Just before the COVID-19 crisis emerged, Premier Jason Kenney (2019, 1) condemned “policies that interfere in areas of provincial jurisdiction” and mandated a Fair Deal Panel of prominent Albertans to report

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

Government of Alberta limited immediate action to supporting Quebec’s request that provinces have the right to collect all PIT (Alberta (2020, 12).

My purpose in this article is to consider how the COVID-19 experience may have shaped Alberta’s initial decision against moving ahead with a provincial PIT and may continue to influence Alberta and other provinces in future talks over which level of government should collect PIT.

Background on Canada’s Shared Federal–Provincial Personal Income Tax

Section: ▲ ▼

The OECD (2020a, 2020b) compiles historical data on government revenue and economic activity that are comparable across countries and complete through the 2018 calendar year. In the OECD data, PIT was the largest single source of revenue for Canadian governments, amounting to 12 percent of gross domestic product (GDP) in 2018 and accounting for 36.5 percent of total tax revenue.

Both federal and provincial governments have the constitutional right to levy PIT (Macdonald 1941; Scott 1955). PIT revenue sharing has been quite stable, with the federal government collecting about 60 percent year in and year out over the past four decades (author’s calculations from OECD 2020a).¹

The federal government administers PIT on behalf of all provinces and territories except Quebec.² Tax collection agreements (TCAs) set the terms by which federal and provincial–territorial PITs are integrated both for withholding purposes and on annual returns for tax filers. Provinces and territories set their own tax rates, brackets, and credits. The federal government collects provincial–territorial PITs free of charge save for the cost of administering credits that deviate from the basic package of federal credits (CRA 2015, 10). The policy lever that subscribing provinces and territories³ cede to the federal

Cookies & Privacy
 This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more](#).

ACCEPT

take pride in.

Roots of Alberta's Personal Income Tax Proposal

Section: ▲ ▼

Whether PIT-sharing arrangements permit enough decentralization has been debated in Alberta since Stephen Harper et al. (2001) urged then-Premier Ralph Klein “to build firewalls around Alberta, to limit the extent to which an aggressive and hostile federal government can encroach upon legitimate provincial jurisdiction.” As one brick in the firewall, Harper et al. recommended that Alberta should “collect our own revenue from personal income tax.”

Premier Klein asked an Alberta Progressive Conservative caucus committee to report on the firewall proposals. This MLA Committee (2004, 22) dismissed a separate Alberta PIT as impractical because of administration and taxpayer compliance costs and instead recommended that Alberta “reach a new Tax Collection Agreement with the federal government that addresses Alberta’s concerns and provides increased tax policy flexibility.”

The MLA Committee (2004) did not use the phrase, but their rejection of the firewall authors’ PIT proposal was consistent with the adage “If it ain’t broke, don’t fix it” (and avoid the repair bill). The Klein government accepted the MLA Committee’s advice. The Government of Alberta finalized an updated TCA with the federal government on the same terms that other provinces (except Quebec) had negotiated (Alberta 2005).

Why Does Quebec Pay for Its Own Personal Income Tax?

Section: ▲ ▼

The cost estimate that prompted Alberta to back away from a separate PIT was

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

PIT represents a necessary assertion of autonomy (Behiels 1985; Forget 1984).

Quebecers believe that the symbolic value of asserting their province’s autonomy is worth the cost of administering and complying with a separate provincial PIT.⁴ In 2005, Premier Klein decided that enhancing Alberta’s autonomy was not worth the cost of a separate PIT and stayed within the TCA framework for federal–provincial sharing of the PIT.

Pro-Growth Case for Alberta Personal Income Tax

Section: ▲ ▼

Jack Mintz (2019) put forward a new case for an Alberta PIT linked to his critique of the federal definition of taxable income. Mintz (2018) argued that the federal PIT rules include too many opportunities to avoid tax legally with investments in devices such as flow-through shares with no proven economic benefit. With a narrow tax base, marginal tax rates are higher than necessary, thereby reducing incentives to work and innovate. With its own PIT, Alberta could broaden the tax base, reduce marginal tax rates, and reap long-run economic growth benefits.

Quebec’s Request to Collect Federal Personal Income Tax

Section: ▲ ▼

Premier Kenney asked the Fair Deal Panel to report on an updated Alberta PIT model with a new element offering a way around the administration and compliance cost barrier that blocked the provincial PIT plan outlined in the 2001 firewall letter. Rather than separate the provincial PIT from the federal system, Alberta would work with Quebec to seek approval for provinces to collect both federal and provincial PIT.

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

least some of the administration cost. If Alberta's revenue agency were the sole point of contact for taxpayers filing a single annual return for both federal and provincial PIT and for employers remitting withholding payments, additional compliance costs would be minimized. By supporting Quebec's request to collect federal PIT, Alberta can address the cost concerns that stymied the stand-alone PIT proposed in the 2001 firewall letter.

The Trudeau government rejected Quebec's request (Leblanc 2018) and would likely spurn a similar proposal by Alberta. However, the Conservative Party and the Bloc Québécois, the two largest opposition parties in the House of Commons, agree that Quebec should collect federal PIT (Pinkerton 2020; Scheer 2018). A future election might result in a federal administration willing to give provinces the right to collect federal PIT along with compensation for the cost of doing so.⁶ The fiscal argument for provincial administration of the PIT system is that there might be little or no difference in federal spending on

- the current approach of directly paying CRA employees for administering federal and provincial PIT versus
- a new system of compensating provinces for the costs of collecting PIT with provincial employees who had been transferred from the CRA.⁷

Section: ▲ ▼

Fair Deal Panel Report

The Fair Deal Panel (2020, 47) recommended that

Alberta support Québec in its bid to collect federal and provincial portions of personal income taxes. When Québec succeeds, Alberta can then evaluate the consequences and pursue the same strategy if it appears advantageous to do so.

Although the panel did not spell out the conditions required for an Alberta PIT to appear advantageous, panel members would have known that the firewall

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

On 17 June 2020, Alberta (2020, 12) responded to the Fair Deal Panel Report by agreeing to support “Quebec in their efforts to pursue the collection of both the provincial and federal portions of personal income taxes.” The Kenney government did not explicitly comment on the panel’s suggestion that introducing an Alberta PIT be conditional on Quebec’s success in obtaining federal agreement and a positive evaluation of Quebec’s experience collecting federal and provincial PIT. Alberta (2020, 12) did caution that “significant further analysis is required on the feasibility of a provincial tax collection agency, including understanding the benefits of policy flexibility against the cost of additional compliance and administrative requirements.”

Thus, the Kenney government set out a benefit–cost test for whether an Alberta PIT would meet the Fair Deal Panel’s “advantageous” condition. Neither the panel nor the Government of Alberta mentioned supporting Quebec’s request for federal compensation of provincial PIT collection costs. However, it will be more difficult for an Alberta PIT to pass the benefit–cost test without federal compensation. Even though Alberta has not mentioned federal compensation, Quebec can likely count on Alberta’s support for this aspect of the request for provincial power to collect all PIT.

COVID-19 and Alberta’s Personal Income Tax Position

Section: ▲ ▼

In Premier Kenney’s November 2019 letter listing policy proposals for the Fair Deal Panel to study, “joining Quebec in seeking an agreement to collect federal taxes” appeared to be an ancillary component of Alberta’s larger PIT plan. Less than a year later in the Government of Alberta’s June 2020 response to the panel report, supporting Quebec is Alberta’s priority, whereas a separate provincial PIT needs “further analysis.”

The fiscal legacy of the COVID-19 crisis may well be dictating Alberta’s

Cookies & Privacy
 This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

If Alberta unilaterally moved ahead with a provincial PIT, federal political parties might feel added pressure to give provinces the right to collect federal and provincial PIT and be compensated for costs. However, Albertans would bear administration and compliance costs until a more amenable federal government is elected. Supporting Quebec and deferring a provincial PIT decision until Quebec succeeds with its request is a much safer strategy for Alberta in the post-COVID fiscal environment.⁸

An additional factor may be that the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on PIT revenue has highlighted the value to Alberta of a cash flow benefit built into the existing TCA structure. Federal instalment payments to the provinces for 2020 PIT revenue were based on pre-COVID forecasts of income growth. In the federal government’s post-COVID Economic and Fiscal Snapshot, Finance Canada (2020) estimates that federal PIT revenue in the current 2020/21 fiscal year will be down 14 percent from the previous year. We can expect federal and provincial PIT revenue to fall by similar amounts, with the precise decline for each province dependent on the local severity of the COVID crisis and local effects of the global recession.

Taking Alberta as an example, a 14 percent decline in annual PIT revenue would amount to more than \$1.5 billion.⁹ Economic and fiscal projections remain uncertain. However, even the most conservative estimate would peg Alberta’s actual PIT revenue for 2020 at more than \$1 billion below the pre-COVID forecast used to set federal instalment payments this year. Thanks to the TCA payment terms, Alberta can continue receiving 2020 PIT instalments based on the pre-COVID forecast, thereby deferring a billion-dollar PIT revenue loss.¹⁰ In 2021, the federal government will begin reconciling actual PIT revenue assessed on behalf of Alberta for 2020 against instalments, and Alberta would start repaying any overpayment of 2020 PIT revenue. However, a province’s total annual repayments are capped at \$140 per capita, or just more than \$600 million for Alberta (author’s calculation from formula in Canada Minister of Justice 2020, Part 3, Section 41[2]). If there is an exceptionally large

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

some borrowers' ability to service debt.

This aspect of federal-provincial PIT harmonization takes advantage of the Government of Canada's top bond rating¹¹ and ability to borrow at lower interest rates than any province. During a severe recession, provinces are more likely than the federal government to have trouble borrowing. For example, Newfoundland and Labrador Premier Dwight Ball (2020) declared a public health emergency on 18 March and wrote to Prime Minister Trudeau two days later asking for federal assistance because "recent attempts to finalize our borrowing program, both short term and long term, have been unsuccessful."

Three days later, on 23 March 2020, Newfoundland and Labrador's regular PIT instalment arrived. The next federal PIT payment reached Newfoundland and Labrador one week later, on 30 March. The amount of each instalment continued to be based on the pre-COVID forecast. If Newfoundland and Labrador had been collecting its own PIT, revenue flows would have started to drop with payrolls in the wake of the COVID-19 shutdown.

The cash flow advantage from PIT harmonization was not enough to forestall the borrowing problem that prompted Newfoundland and Labrador to ask for federal help.¹² However, Newfoundland and Labrador would have even less financial flexibility if it were collecting its own PIT and had to boost borrowing requirements to match lower PIT revenue right away.

The value of the cash flow advantage built into the TCA is greatest for a province with higher net debt as a proportion of GDP, a lower bond rating, and a greater risk of having problems borrowing during an economic downturn. Even before the COVID-19 crisis, rating agencies were unanimous in ranking Newfoundland and Labrador bonds lowest in safety among Canadian provincial issues (FAO Ontario 2019, 6). Newfoundland and Labrador entered the crisis with more net debt as a proportion of GDP than any other province (RBC Economics 2020). As long as oil prices and revenues remain relatively low, Newfoundland and Labrador will be wary of another borrowing crisis. It will be the province least likely to accept any future federal offer to collect PIT even

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

appreciation for the temporary cash flow advantage delivered by the federal PIT system during times of economic distress.

Suppose Quebec and Alberta persuade a future federal administration to allow provincial collection of all PIT with full federal compensation of provincial administration costs. A province accepting such a federal offer would still be giving up the security of the cash flow benefit built into the TCAs during adverse economic shocks.¹⁴ Alberta would have to weigh the benefits of the existing PIT system against the potential for long-run economic gains from “policy flexibility”—being able to define taxable income to pursue a pro-growth strategy with a broader tax base and lower tax rates.¹⁵ Moreover, if oil prices remain low and net debt continues rising, even Alberta may think twice about foregoing the cash flow benefit of the federal–provincial PIT.

My contention is that the ongoing fiscal impact of the COVID-19 crisis will limit the number of provinces willing to take up any future federal offer to collect PIT.

Section: ▲ ▼

Summary

The Alberta PIT proposal in the 2001 firewall letter (Harper et al. 2001) marked the most serious challenge to the federal–provincial PIT since Quebec introduced its own PIT in 1954. The Klein government rejected the firewall proposal because of concerns about the administration and compliance costs of a separate Alberta PIT. Quebec now proposes collecting federal and provincial PIT in return for federal compensation of administration costs. By asking the Fair Deal Panel to report on an Alberta PIT combined with support for Quebec’s proposal, Premier Kenney pointed the way to a potential solution to the cost concerns that blocked the firewall proposal. Although the current federal government has rejected Quebec’s proposal, Quebec and Alberta together might persuade a future federal administration.

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

balances may explain Alberta's relatively cautious approach. Alberta may be even more inclined to view a provincial PIT as viable only if Quebec can persuade a future federal administration to make an offer that covers provincial administration costs. Another factor dictating caution may be a greater appreciation after the COVID-19 crisis of a cash flow advantage for provinces built into the federal-provincial PIT system. Federal instalment payments of 2020 provincial PIT can continue on the basis of the annual forecast finalized before COVID-19 was expected to be a factor. With higher net debts after the COVID-19 crisis, Alberta and the other eight provinces in the federal-provincial PIT system are likely to attach a higher value to this temporary cash flow benefit. Any future federal offer allowing provincial collection of PIT will likely be taken up by fewer provinces than might have been the case had there been no COVID-19 crisis.

Acknowledgements

My former Saskatchewan Finance colleagues Joanne Brockman and Jim Marshall answered my questions about the tax collection agreements. Any errors in this article are my sole responsibility.

Notes

- 1 Bird and Vaillancourt (2006) pointed out that the federal-provincial PIT revenue split is close to 50/50 in Quebec and about 67/33 in the rest of Canada.
- 2 Alberta and Quebec administer their own corporate income taxes (CITs) separate from the federal CIT. Quebec's request to collect federal income tax within that province applies to both PIT and CIT. Federal-provincial CIT issues are beyond the scope of this article.
- 3 In the interests of brevity from this point on, the terms *provinces* and *provincial* should, respectively, be understood to mean "provinces and territories" and "provincial-territorial."

Cookies & Privacy
 This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

capacity.

5 The precedent for federal compensation would be the arrangement in place since 1991 by which Quebec administers and collects the federal goods and services tax (GST) along with its own Quebec sales tax. Quebec remits federal GST revenue in regular instalments. In return, the federal government pays Quebec an annual administration fee. In 1991, the federal government had two incentives to pay provinces to administer the GST. To minimize the economic efficiency losses from Canada's sales tax system, including administration and compliance costs, the federal government wanted as many provinces as possible to fold their existing retail sales taxes (RSTs) into the GST. Also, the federal government had no experience collecting a broad-based sales tax and hoped to take advantage of the provinces' RST administrative infrastructure. These incentives are absent in the PIT case.

6 The new Conservative Party of Canada leader will decide with his or her caucus whether to continue the party's policy of supporting Quebec's request to collect federal PIT and whether to compensate provinces for administration costs.

7 Part of the transition from federal to provincial administration of the PIT system might be relatively seamless. For example, the four CRA Tax Services Offices in Alberta could be transferred to the Alberta government. PIT returns from Alberta and the other western provinces are processed at CRA's Winnipeg Tax Centre. The federal government, Alberta government, or both could offer transfer packages including moving costs to CRA Winnipeg employees willing to relocate to Alberta's new PIT processing centre. A reduction in total employment at CRA's Winnipeg office could be achieved by natural attrition rather than layoffs. Nevertheless, the Manitoba government might be concerned about a loss of jobs at CRA's Winnipeg office. Even the most pro-decentralization federal administration might find it difficult to balance competing provincial interests while shifting PIT administration to provincial partners.

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

indicator of the 2020 provincial PIT revenue decline that provinces can defer by opting to maintain instalment payments based on the pre-crisis revenue forecast finalized in January 2020.

10 The TCA allows for federal and provincial finance ministers to agree on an in-year adjustment to instalment payments based on an updated PIT revenue forecast (Alberta 2005, Chapter 2, section 2.8.[5]). However, with forecasts so uncertain during the crisis, no one could object if a provincial minister eschewed an updated forecast in favour of maintaining 2020 instalments at the pre-crisis level. When responding to concerns from the Provincial Auditor (1999) that federal PIT instalments were not timely enough, Ontario Finance pointed to the temporary advantage proffered by the TCA instalment schedule during the 1990–1994 period when actual PIT revenues came in below forecast each year.

11 Fitch Ratings (2020) dropped Government of Canada bonds one grade to AA+ because of “the deterioration of Canada’s public finances in 2020 resulting from the coronavirus pandemic.” Fitch is the only rating agency to withhold its highest grade from Canada bonds. Fitch’s announcement has not affected the relative status of federal and provincial bonds. The federal government can still borrow at lower interest rates than any province.

12 Finance Minister Tom Osborne later clarified that Newfoundland and Labrador had remained able to borrow but “wouldn’t sell bonds at the rates that were available. We were not the only province to face this difficulty” (Maher 2020).

13 On 24 March 2020, the Bank of Canada introduced the Provincial Money Market Purchase Program “to support the liquidity and efficiency of provincial government funding markets.” Less than a month later, the bank started purchasing longer-term provincial bonds with maturities up to ten years. The bank’s new program facilitated provincial debt management during the COVID-19 crisis. The provinces will still have to make interest and principal payments on debt held by the Bank of Canada. There is no guarantee that the Bank of

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

to spend on devolving PIT collection to the provinces.

15 One risk of implementing a pro-growth provincial PIT is that base broadening may turn out to be easier said than done, if specific proposals stir up organized opposition from beneficiaries of existing PIT loopholes.

Section: ▲

References

Alberta. 2005. "Tax Collection Agreement between the Government of Canada and the Government of the Province of Alberta." At https://www.qp.alberta.ca/documents/orders/Orders_in_Council/2005/305/2005_112.html. [Google Scholar](#)

Alberta. 2020. "Fair Deal Panel Report: Government's Response." Edmonton: Government of Alberta. At <https://open.alberta.ca/dataset/735ca928-7938-41c0-ba33-a38a0d7e3251/resource/7153e491-4274-4ec7-bcbe-ecb56d663683/download/fair-deal-panel-report-government-response-june-2020.pdf>. [Google Scholar](#)

Ball, D. 2020. "Letter to the Right Honourable Justin Trudeau." At <https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6823548-Letter-to-Prime-Minister.html>. [Google Scholar](#)

Bank of Canada. 2020. "Bank of Canada Announces New Program to Support Provincial Funding Markets." 24 March. At <https://www.bankofcanada.ca/2020/03/bank-canada-announces-new-program-support-provincial-funding-markets/>. [Google Scholar](#)

Behiels, M. 1985. *Prelude to Quebec's Quiet Revolution*. Montreal: McGill-Queens University Press. [Google Scholar](#)

Bird, R., and F. Vaillancourt. 2006. "Changing with the Times: Success, Failure and Inertia in Canadian Federal Arrangements, 1945–2002." In *Federalism and Economic Reform: International Perspectives*, ed. J.S. Wallack

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

Courchene, T. 1999. "National versus Regional Concerns: A Provincial Perspective on the Role and Operation of the Tax Collection Agreements." *Canadian Tax Journal* 47(4):861–89. [Google Scholar](#)

Courchene, T. 2010. "Intergovernmental Transfers and Canadian Values: Retrospect and Prospect." *Policy Options* 31(5):32–40. At <http://irpp.org/wp-content/uploads/assets/po/the-fault-lines-of-federalism/courchene.pdf>. [Google Scholar](#)

Fair Deal Panel. 2020. "Report to Government." Edmonton: Fair Deal Panel. At <https://open.alberta.ca/dataset/d8933f27-5f81-4cbb-97c1-f56b45b09a74/resource/d5836820-d81f-4042-b24e-b04e012f4cde/download/fair-deal-panel-report-to-government-may-2020.pdf>. [Google Scholar](#)

Finance Canada. 2020. "Annex 2: Details of Economic and Fiscal Projections." At <https://www.canada.ca/en/department-finance/services/publications/economic-fiscal-snapshot/details-economic-fiscal-projections.html>. [Google Scholar](#)

Financial Accountability Office (FAO) of Ontario. 2019. "Update on Ontario's Credit Rating." Toronto: FAO. At <https://www.fao-on.org/web/default/files/commentaries/EC1910%20credit%20rating%20comm%20oct%202019/Credit%20Rating%20CommentaryEN.pdf>. [Google Scholar](#)

Fitch Ratings. 2020. "Fitch Downgrades Canada's Ratings to 'AA+'; Outlook Stable." At <https://www.fitchratings.com/research/sovereigns/fitch-downgrades-canada-ratings-to-aa-outlook-stable-24-06-2020>. [Google Scholar](#)

Forget, C. 1984. "Quebec's Experience with the Personal Income Tax." In *Separate Personal Income Tax for Ontario: Background Studies*, ed. D.W. Conklin, 187–212. Toronto: Ontario Economic Council. [Google Scholar](#)

Freeman, A. 2019. "Scheer's Quebec Tax Proposal Shows Nothing but

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

Boessenbrook, Z. 2017. "An Open Letter to Ralph Klein." At <https://www.albertapolitics.ca/wp-content/uploads/firewall.pdf>. Google Scholar

Kenney, J. 2019. "Letter from Premier to Panel." At <https://www.alberta.ca/external/news/letter-from-premier-to-panel.pdf>. Google Scholar

Leblanc, D. 2018. "Ottawa Rejects Quebec Proposal to Collect, and Transfer, Federal Income Tax." *The Globe and Mail*, 18 May. At <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/politics/article-ottawa-rejects-quebec-proposal-to-collect-and-transfer-federal/>. Google Scholar

MacDonald, V. 1941. "Taxation Powers in Canada." *Canadian Bar Review* 19(2):75–95. Google Scholar

Maher, D. 2020. "Moody's Downgrades Newfoundland and Labrador's Credit Outlook to Negative." *Telegram*, 2 April. At <https://www.thetelegram.com/news/local/moodys-downgrades-newfoundland-and-labradors-credit-outlook-to-negative-433196/>. Google Scholar

Members of the Legislative Assembly (MLA) Committee on Strengthening Alberta's Role in Confederation. 2004. *Report of the MLA Committee on Strengthening Alberta's Role in Confederation*. Edmonton: Government of Alberta. At <http://daveberta.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Report-of-the-MLA-Committee-on-Strengthening-Albertas-Role-in-Confederation.pdf>. Google Scholar

Mintz, J. 2018. "Crazy Rich Canadians: How to Tax the 1%." *The Globe and Mail*, 31 August. At <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/opinion/article-crazy-rich-canadians-how-to-tax-the-1/>. Google Scholar

Mintz, J. 2019. "The Fair Deal Panel Should Have One Focus—Improving Alberta's Economy." *Financial Post*, 27 November. At <https://business.financialpost.com/opinion/jack-mintz-the-fair-deal-panel-should-have-one-focus-improving-albertas-economy>. Google Scholar

Office of the Provincial Auditor. 1999. "1999 Provincial Auditor's Report:

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

Gross Domestic Product (GDP). At https://readecceca.library.utoronto.ca/economics/national-accounts-of-oecd-countries-volume-2020-issue-1_c0390318-en#page1. Google Scholar

Pinkerton, C. 2020. "Single Income Tax for Quebeckers to Be First Bloc Bill; top five private members' bills almost set." *iPolitics*, 5 February. At <https://ipolitics.ca/2020/02/05/single-income-tax-for-quebeckers-to-be-first-bloc-bill-top-five-private-members-bills-almost-set/>. Google Scholar

RBC Economics. 2020. "Provincial Deficits Projected to Surge Due to COVID-19." *Inspired Investor*, 28 April. At <https://www6.royalbank.com/en/di/hubs/now-and-noteworthy/article/provincial-deficits-projected-to-surge-due-to-covid-19/k8kupbf8>. Google Scholar

Scheer, A. 2018. "The Single Tax Return Is a Priority for Conservatives." At <https://www.conservative.ca/the-single-tax-return-is-a-priority-for-conservatives/>. Google Scholar

Scott, F.R. 1955. "The Constitutional Background of Taxation Agreements." *McGill Law Journal* 2(1):1–10. At <https://lawjournal.mcgill.ca/wp-content/uploads/pdf/1487005-scott.pdf>. Google Scholar

CEA Member Access

Downloaded 835 times

Article History

Version of record: 24 July 2020

Article Tools

Add to Favourites | Share by
Email | Citation Manager
Track Citations | Download

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

- administration
- compliance
- federalism
- revenue
- taxation

By Keyword

- administration
- conformité fiscale
- fédéralisme
- impôts
- recettes publiques

By Author

- Adil Sayeed

Search in

- University of Toronto Press
- Google Scholar

Search

SUBMIT

To submit your article to the journal, please click the link above.

Top Articles

- Most Recent
- Most Read
- Most Cited

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

Advance Access

Examining the Stability and Durability of Child Care Arrangements during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Canada

Busra Hacıoglu, Samantha Burns, Adrienne Davidson, Michal Perlman and Linda A. White

Advance Access

The Great Rise . . . and Then the Great Fall: Toronto Police Service's Enforcement of the *Ontario Safe Streets Act*, 2000–2022

Bill O'Grady and Annie Simpson

[See More](#)

Connect With Us



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO PRESS

Journals Division

ABOUT

[UTP Journals](#)

RESOURCES

[Resources For Authors](#)

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO PRESS

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT

Cookies & Privacy

This site uses cookies for analytics and access management. For more information, view our [Privacy Policy](#). Please accept our [Terms and Conditions](#) before using our website. [Learn more.](#)

ACCEPT